F.No.3/32/2008-PP-I GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS

MINUTES OF THE 12th MEETING OF THE EMPOWERED COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER AND APPROVE THE MULTI-SECTORAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR MINORITY CONCENTRATION DISTRICTS HELD AT 11.00 A.M. ON 27th FEBRUARY, 2009 UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS

A list of members and officials present in the meeting is annexed.

2. The Chairman of the Empowered Committee explained the background for identification of minority concentration districts (MCDs) and the scheme of a multisectoral development programme (MsDP) designed to address the development deficits of such districts. The Chairman pointed out that the baseline survey not only brought out the updated position in respect of the relevant parameters used for identification of such districts, but also ranked the deficits in order of the extent of deprivation in the districts. It was expected that the plans submitted by the State Governments would address the deficits in order of priority. In case a deficit, ranked higher in the order of deprivation, was not proposed to be addressed by the plan, it would be incumbent on the part of the District Level Committee and the State Level Committee to bring out the reasons for not doing so. The Chairman stressed that the primary objective of this programme was to address the identified development deficits, so that the various interventions would result in the improvement of the backwardness parameters of a minority concentration district and bring it at par with the national averages.

3. The Chairman stated that the fact that these districts were not just MCDs, having a substantial minority population, but were also districts comprising of other communities who suffer from the same backwardness and deprivation should not be lost sight of. It was important to keep in mind that the large presence of minorities may have resulted in the identification of such districts for appropriate developmental intervention, but the scheme, while giving priority to villages/areas having a substantial minority population, was intended to benefit the district as a whole. Improving the relevant backwardness indices upto national averages was the primary mandate of the scheme for social inclusion. The scheme provides additionality to the Centrally Sponsored Schemes (CSS) as there were many existing schemes already addressing national concerns with timetested guidelines and implementation mechanism, especially those included in the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities, for saturating them in MCDs. However, sufficient funds for certain programmes in these districts were required. It was crucial that basic requirements like primary and secondary education, skill development, safe drinking water, housing etc. were addressed first. As envisaged in the scheme, the States/UTs were advised to ensure that topping up Centrally Sponsored Schemes wherever appropriate, could be proposed as these were established schemes and could be implemented with ease without setting up new structures for implementing them. The Chairman emphasized that deviations from the existing Centrally Sponsored Schemes was not permitted under the MsDP scheme. It was pointed out that funds were provided as additionality and that the normal annual flow of fund to the district should not be reduced, and that the responsibility for eliminating duplication of schemes and avoiding double counting of a scheme under two funding sources vested with both the district authority and the State Government. The Chairman stressed that accounts under MsD programme should be maintained separately.

4. The Empowered Committee considered the multi-sectoral development plans for the districts of <u>Ghaziabad, Bahraich, Budaun, Moradabad (Uttar Pradesh), Gajapati</u> (Orissa) and revised plans for the balance funds of Senapati, Ukhrul (Manipur). The conclusions that emerged, after a power point presentation by the Deputy Commissioner concerned, clarifications and confirmation of the status and fulfillment of conditions of the guidelines by the Special Secretary of the State Government of Uttar Pradesh, Orissa and Manipur, comments/clarifications from the members of the Empowered Committee and the representatives of Ministry/Department, were as follows:-

Item No.1: Ghaziabad (Uttar Pradesh)

The fund tentatively allocated for Ghaziabad district under the MsDP was Rs.39.80 crore for the 11th Plan period. A power point presentation of the MsDP plan of the district was made by the Deputy Commissioner. The district has 8 blocks, 405 Gram Panchayat and 529 villages. Households having pucca wall was ranked 1st priority in the order of deficit, but Deputy Commissioner stated that waiting list prepared by the district for BPL family has already been exhausted therefore IAY houses were not proposed. As regards providing households with water closet latrines and safe drinking water supply, the representative of the Department of Drinking Water Supply stated that sufficient fund was available with them to cover all the requirements of the State. The representative urged that the State should send their proposal on both these areas to the Department of Drinking Water Supply.

(i) **<u>Projects approved</u>**

(a) Construction of Primary Health sub Centre (PHSC): Health indicators i.e. percentage of institutional deliveries of 23.15% were below the national average of 38.7%. Proposal was for the construction of 36 PHSCs under MsDP @ Rs.8.18 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.294.48 lakh. It was clarified by the Deputy Commissioner that these PHSCs were functional and having doctors, ANM and nurses. Land was confirmed to be available. Representative from the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare stated that the rate approved by the State Mission Director for NRHM should be followed. It was also clarified by the Deputy Commissioner that there were two approved rates for the construction of PHSCs in U.P. depending on the implementing agencies. First, construction of PHSC @ Rs. 6.90 lakh, jointly implemented by the Gram Pardhan and ANM but it has been the experience that this method of implementation took very long time for work to be completed. Second, construction @ Rs. 8.18 lakh per unit through State agency. Implementation through this method was faster than the first one.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 36 PHSCs @ Rs.8.18 lakh at a total cost of Rs.294.48 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.250.31 lakh and Rs.44.17 lakh would be the State share (85:15 between centre and state). The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres, located in areas having the highest concentration of minority population, were selected. NRHM construction norms, design, specification and standards would be followed and the State share would be provided. Letter of approval of the cost of construction by State Government or State NRHM mission director should be provided. The list of the centres with location should be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the centres funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of NRHM. It was agreed for release of 50% of the Central share as first instalment.

(b) Construction of anganwadi centres: Health indicator i.e. vaccination to the children of 10.03% was below the national average of 43.50%. It was submitted that 2121 anganwadi centres were in operation in the district, out of which 1914 centres were not having their own building. The proposal was for construction of 415 buildings for existing anganwadi centres in minority concentration areas @ Rs.2.96 lakh. For maintaining uniformity in the rate of construction in a State, the approved cost of Rs.2.95 lakh for the construction of anganwadi centres already approved for other districts in the state was agreed to be adopted. Land and staff were confirmed to be available.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 415 anganwadi centres @ of Rs.2.95 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.1224.25 lakh, subject to the condition that the centres, as per the advice of the M/o WCD, would have a kitchen, store room, toilet and playing space and centres located in villages which have the highest concentration of minority population would be selected. It was agreed that 50% of the central share will be released as 1st instalment. The State Government would provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

(ii) Projects approved in-principle:

(c) Construction of ITI building: Total work participation and female work participation have been ranked as 3rd and 2nd respectively in the baseline survey of the district. Proposal was for the construction of building for an existing ITI at Garhmukteswar at a total cost of Rs.295.00 lakh. The State Government representative confirmed that this ITI has been sanctioned and was operating from the premises of an existing ITI at Simrouly. Land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. However, as advised by the Ministry of Labour & Employment in earlier meetings of the Empowered Committee the proposal would need to be re-considered. The M/o Labour & Employment had been recommending that the building design, specification, etc should be as per the standards of the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT) as it would facilitate NCVT recognition of the ITI and provide better employment prospect to the students.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval for the construction of an ITI at Garhmukteswar for Rs.295.00 lakh. This would be subject to the condition that the State Government should provide a detailed project report prepared as per the specification, design, norms, modern courses/trades and standard laid down by the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT); locally relevant, modern trades and trades suitable for women should be included and DPR submitted for obtaining the advice of the Ministry of Labour & Employment. Confirmation of the State Government would be required that the ITI was sanctioned, and land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. Also the State Government would be required to provide details in respect of the numbers and type of courses, numbers of students enrolled and its capacity. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment after obtaining the advice of the M/o Labour & Employment on receipt of DPR.

(d) Solar Street light: Percentage of households with electricity was identified as the 7th priority but availability of the power was a problem in the State. It has therefore been proposed for the installation of 300 stand alone solar streets light in the minority concentration areas @ Rs.27500/- at the total cost of Rs.82.50 lakh.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval for the installation of 300 stand alone solar street light in the minority concentration areas @ Rs.9600/- as a central contribution at a total cost of Rs.28.80 lakh. This would, however, be subject to the condition that State Government should provide a detailed project report prepared as per the specification, funding pattern and Central Government subsidy ceiling, design, norms and standard laid down by the Ministry of New and Renewable Energy. The proposal should be sent only after it was cleared by the UPREDA. The proposal should clearly indicate how the balance cost of the proposal would be funded, if Gram Panchayat was to provide balance fund then, written commitment should be provided by the Gram Panchayat to provide beneficiary contribution.

(iii) <u>Proposals requiring project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the</u> <u>Ministry/Department concerned in case the State Government desire to pursue the</u> <u>proposal:</u>

Training programmes under skill development initiative plan: For promoting skill training, the State Govt. was advised to consider proposing for upgradation of existing ITIs or even setting up new ITIs. However, for setting up new ITIs, it was pointed out that the sanction of the State Government would be required with firm commitment for providing land, staff, recurring expenditure etc. The State would also be required to bring out clearly in the DPR the adherence to the standards of the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT) or 'State Council for Vocational Training' (SCVT) as affiliation to such council would enhance employment prospect for the students.

- (iv) <u>Proposals declined by the Empowered Committee as they were declined/not</u> <u>identified as priority items in the baseline survey/not envisaged in the programme:</u>
- (a) Installation of hand pumps: The representative from the Department of Drinking Water Supply stated that hand pumps was being provide under Rajiv Gandhi Drinking Water Mission and sufficient funds were available to cover this district. The State Government was advised to send such proposals directly to the Department of Drinking Water Supply.
- (b) Affiliation under NCVT for ITI at Garmukteshwar: It was advised to the State Government to include the item of works to be carried out which are necessary for the affiliation of the existing ITI with NCVT while preparing the DPR for setting up of an ITI at Garhmukteshwar, approved in-principle by the Empowered Committee [item (c) above].
- (v) General observations of the Empowered Committee: The Empowered Committee noted that the rest of the proposals could not be considered as there was insufficient justification. The State Government was advised to make out a revised plan for the

balance fund available in accordance with the guidelines of the MsDP keeping in view the proposals which have already been approved under MsDP for Uttar Pradesh and other States.

Sl. no	Name of the project for Ghaziabad district (U.P.)	Sharing ratio	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 st instalment amount to be released
]	Rupee in I	lakh	
Adn	ninistrative Approval							
а	Construction of building for PHSCs	85:15	36	8.18	250.31	44.17	294.48	125.16
b	Construction of anganwadi centres	100:00	415	2.95	1224.25	-	1224.25	612.13
	Sub-total				1474.56	44.17	1518.73	737.29
In-p	orinciple approval							
С	Construction of ITI building at Garhmukteswar	100:00	01	295.00	295.00	-	295.00	147.50
d	Installation of solar street light	-	300	0.096	28.80	-	28.80	14.40
	Sub-total				323.80		323.80	161.90
	Grand total				1798.36	44.17	1842.53	899.19

(vi) <u>Summary of projects of Ghaziabad (U.P) district approved by the Empowered</u> <u>Committee</u>:

(vii) The State Government would set up an IT enabled cell for monitoring, reporting and evaluation of the programme and submit their proposal based on the type of hardware and configuration which would be advised by this Ministry shortly. The representative from the State Government was also advised to prepare and submit supplementary/revised/modified MsDP plan for the balance fund. The Empowered Committee advised that the revised plan may focus on a few proposals that address the deficits which could be easily funded such as, drinking water, skill development, education, income generating activities, and health, including saturating the schemes included in the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities. State Government was also advised to prepare a revised plan in such a manner that the various deficit indicators identified by the baseline survey were addressed in order of priority.

Item No.2: Bahraich (Uttar Pradesh)

The fund tentatively allocated for Baharich district under the MsDP was Rs.67.30 crore for the 11th Plan period. A power point presentation of the MsDP plan of the district was made by the Deputy Commissioner, Baharich. The district has 14 blocks, 903 Gram Panchayat and 1370 villages. It was stated by the DC, Bahraich that 140 villages having more than 30% minority population has been identified for the implementation of the MsDP. Percentage of households with W/C latrines was ranked 1st in the baseline survey but was not proposed because under Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC) enough funds to saturate the demand of this district was available. Electricity ranked 3rd in the baseline survey but was not proposed because it would be covered under RGGVY.

(i) **<u>Projects approved</u>**

(a) Indira Awas Yojana (IAY): Households having pucca walls was ranked 2nd in the order of deficit in the baseline survey. It was submitted that on the basis of household survey in 2002 the permanent wait list of BPL families was 1,16,769 in the district. 26,487 houses has been constructed from regular IAY fund so far. In 140 identified villages, the wait list was 18,299 and 4972 houses were constructed, leaving a gap of 13,327 houses. The proposal was for constructing an additional 1500 houses at the approved unit cost of the Ministry of Rural Development i.e. Rs.35,000/-. Keeping in view the huge gap, the Deputy Commissioner requested for increasing the number of IAY houses to be constructed under this programme from 1500 to 10,000. The proposed increase was supported by the Special Secretary of the State Government.

The Empowered Committee considered the request for enhancing the nos. of IAY to be constructed under this programme and approved the construction of 3000 IAY houses at a total cost of Rs.1050.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.787.50 lakh and Rs.262.50 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 75:25. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the assurance that the State Government would ensure that the IAY houses would be constructed in 140 villages with the highest minority concentration; BPL families would be selected from the approved waiting list, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list as per the IAY guidelines. It was stressed that no deviation from the guidelines was permitted. The list of the villages, indicating the number of houses to be constructed would be provided. State share would be provided. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh would ensure that the units funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry concerned.

(b) Construction of Primary Health sub Centre (PHSC): Health indicator i.e. percentage of institutional deliveries of 16.00% was below the national average of 38.7%. Proposal was for the construction of 140 PHSCs under MsDP @ Rs.8.18 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.294.48 lakh. It was clarified by the Deputy Commissioner that these PHSCs were functional and having doctors, ANM and nurses. It was also clarified that these PHSCs were proposed for the 140 identified minority concentration villages. Land was confirmed to be available. Representative from the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare stated that the rate approved by the State Mission Director for NRHM should be followed. It was also clarified by the Deputy Commissioner that there were two approved methods of implementation and rates for the construction of PHSCs in U.P. First, construction of PHSC @ Rs. 6.90 lakh per unit, implemented jointly by the Gram Pardhan and ANM. It was the experience of the State that such method took very long time for work to be completed. Second, construction of PHSC @ Rs. 8.18 lakh per unit through State agency. This method was considered faster to implement than the first one.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 140 PHSCs @ Rs.8.18 lakh at a total cost of Rs.1145.20 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.973.42 lakh and Rs.171.78 lakh would be the State share (85:15 between centre and state). The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres, located in 140 identified villages having the highest concentration of minority population would be selected. NRHM construction norms, design, specification and standards would be followed and the State share would be provided. Letter of approval of the cost of construction by State Government or State NRHM mission director should be provided. The list of the centres with location would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the centres funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of NRHM. It was agreed for release of 50% of the Central share as first instalment.

(c) Construction of anganwadi centres: Health indicator i.e. vaccination to the children of 22.70% was below the national average of 43.50%. It was submitted that 2417 anganwadi centres were operational in the district out of which 1500 centres were not having their own building. The proposal was for construction of 1100 buildings for existing anganwadi centres in minority concentration areas @ Rs.2.96 lakh. The Deputy Commissioner clarified that anganwadi centres in 30 minority concentration villages has already been constructed leaving a gap of 110 anganwadi centres out of the 140 identified minority concentration villages. For maintaining uniformity in the approved rate the State Government was advised that the already approved cost Rs.2.95 lakh for the construction of anganwadi centres for other districts in the State should be adopted. This was agreed by the State Government representative. Land and staff were confirmed to be available.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 110 anganwadi centres @ of Rs.2.95 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.324.50 lakh, subject to the condition that the centres, as per the advice of the M/o WCD, would have a kitchen, store room, toilet and playing space and those centres located in villages which have the highest concentration of minority population would be selected. It was agreed that 50% of the central share will be released as 1st instalment. The State Government would provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

(ii) **Projects approved in-principle:**

(d) Construction of Government Inter Colleges: Total literacy and female literacy rate in the district were 48.1% and 33.0% respectively which were below the national average. The proposal was for the construction of three Government inter colleges @ Rs.30.00 lakh at a total cost of Rs.90.00 lakh. The Deputy Commissioner clarified that these colleges were recently sanctioned but were not yet operational.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval for the construction of three Government inter college @ Rs.30.00 lakh at a total cost of Rs.90.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.67.50 lakh and Rs.22.50 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of Rashtriya Madhyamik Siksha Abhiyan (RMSA) a scheme of M/o Human Resource Development (HRD) in the ratio of 75:25 between Centre and State. M/o HRD does not have any scheme for setting up the colleges therefore the guidelines of the RMSA was suggested to be followed. The approval was given on the condition that State Government should provide a detailed project report prepared broadly on the lines of the specification, design, norms and standard laid down in the scheme of RMSA and submit DPR for obtaining the advice of the Ministry of HRD. Confirmation of the State Government would be required that the colleges were sanctioned, land, staff and recurring cost were available. Also the State Government would be required to provide details in respect of the capacity of enrolment in the college and the minority population in the area. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment after obtaining the advice of the M/o HRD.

(e) Construction of ITI building: Total work participation and female work participation have been ranked as 7th and 6th respectively in the baseline survey of the district. Proposal was for the construction of buildings for an existing ITI at Nanpara at a cost of Rs.260.00 lakh. The State Government representative confirmed that this ITI has been sanctioned and was operating from the premises of an existing ITI at Bahraich. Land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. However, as advised by the Ministry of Labour & Employment in earlier meetings of the Empowered Committee the proposal would need to be re-considered. The M/o Labour & Employment had been recommending that the building design, specification, etc should be as per the standards of the 'National Council

for Vocational Training' (NCVT) as it would facilitate NCVT recognition and provide better employment prospect to the students.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval for the construction of an ITI for Rs.260.00 lakh. This would, however, be subject to the condition that the State Government should provide a detailed project report prepared as per the specification, design, norms, modern courses/trades and standard laid down by the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT); locally relevant, modern trades and trades suitable for women should be included and DPR submitted for obtaining the advice of the Ministry of Labour & Employment. Confirmation of the State Government would be required that the ITI was sanctioned, and land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. Also the State Government would be required to provide details in respect of the numbers and type of courses, numbers of students enrolled and its capacity. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment after obtaining the advice of the M/o Labour & Employment on receipt of DPR.

(iii) General observations of the Empowered Committee: The Empowered Committee noted that the rest of the proposals could not be considered as there was insufficient justification. The State Government was advised to make out a revised plan for the balance fund available in accordance with the guidelines of the MsDP keeping in view the proposals which have already been approved under MsDP for Uttar Pradesh and other States.

Sl. no	Name of the project for Bahraich district (U.P.)	Sharing ratio	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 st instalment amount to be released
						Rupee in	lakh	
Adr	ninistrative Approval							
а	IAY houses	75:25	3000	0.35	787.50	262.50	1050.00	393.75
b	Construction of building for PHSCs	85:15	140	8.18	973.42	171.78	1145.20	486.71
c	Construction of anganwadi centres	100:00	110	2.95	324.50	-	324.50	162.25
	Sub-total				2085.42	434.28	2519.70	1042.71
In-p	orinciple approval							
d	ConstructionofGovernmentintercollege	75:25	03	30.00	67.50	22.50	90.00	33.75
e	Construction of ITI building at Nanpara	100:00	01	260.00	260.00	-	260.00	130.00
	Sub-total				327.50	22.50	350.00	163.75
	Grand total				2412.92	456.78	2869.70	1206.46

(iv) <u>Summary of projects of Bahraich (U.P) district approved by the Empowered</u> <u>Committee</u>: (v) The State Government would set up an IT enabled cell for monitoring, reporting and evaluation of the programme and submit their proposal based on the type of hardware and configuration which would be advised by this Ministry shortly. The representative from the State Government was also advised to prepare and submit supplementary/revised/modified MsDP plan for the balance fund. The Empowered Committee advised that the revised plan may focus on a few proposals that address the deficits which could be easily funded such as, drinking water, skill development, education, income generating activities, and health, including saturating the schemes included in the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities. State Government was also advised to prepare a revised plan in such a manner that the various deficit indicators identified by the baseline survey were addressed in order of priority.

Item No.3: Budaun (Uttar Pradesh)

The fund tentatively allocated for Budaun district under the MsDP was Rs.58.10 crore for the 11th Plan period. A power point presentation of the MsDP plan of the district was made by the Deputy Commissioner, Budaun. The district has 18 blocks, 1069 Gram Panchayat and 1782 villages. Electricity ranked 1st in the baseline survey but was not proposed because it would be covered under RGGVY. However, due to the problem of availability of electricity solar street lights have been proposed.

(i) **<u>Projects approved</u>**

(a) Indira Awas Yojana (IAY): Households having pucca walls were ranked 2nd in the order of deficit in the baseline survey. In the year 2005, a standing list of 1,10,747 beneficiaries under IAY was prepared. Out of this list, 11324 families have been given IAY houses from the year 2005-06 to year 2007-08. In the next four financial year, ie in the remaining period of 11th five year plan, an additional 17,937 families would be benefitted under the existing IAY allocation to the district. The gap without a pucca house would still be 81486 BPL families at the end of the 11th five year plan. The proposal was for construction of an additional 4301 houses at the approved unit cost of the Ministry of Rural Development i.e. Rs.35,000/-.

The Empowered Committee approved the construction of 4301 IAY houses at a total cost of Rs.1505.35 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.1129.01 lakh and Rs.376.34 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 75:25. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the assurance that the State Government would ensure that the IAY houses would be constructed in villages with the highest

minority concentration, BPL families would be selected from the approved waiting list, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list as per the IAY guidelines. It was stressed that no deviation from the guidelines was permitted. The list of the villages, indicating the number of houses to be constructed would be provided. State share would be provided. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh would ensure that the units funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry concerned.

(b) Construction of anganwadi centres: Health indicator i.e. vaccination to the children of only 4.15% was below the national average of 43.50%. It was submitted that 2525 anganwadi centres were presently operating from the primary school buildings. Construction of 106 centres was sanctioned from State Government funds. The proposal was for construction of 1000 buildings for existing anganwadi centres in minority concentration areas @ Rs.2.96 lakh. For maintaining uniformity in the rate of construction of anganwadi centres in the State it was advised that the already approved cost Rs.2.95 lakh for other district of the State should be adopted. This was agreed by the State Government representative. Land and staff were confirmed to be available.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 1000 anganwadi centres @ of Rs.2.95 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.2950.00 lakh, subject to the condition that the centres, as per the advice of the M/o WCD, would have a kitchen, store room, toilet and playing space and those centres located in villages which have the highest concentration of minority population would be selected. It was agreed that 50% of the central share will be released as 1st instalment. The State Government would provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

(c) Construction of Primary Health sub Centre (PHSC): Health indicator i.e. percentage of institutional deliveries of 3.22% was below the national average of 38.7%. Proposal was for the construction of 100 PHSCs under MsDP @ Rs.8.18 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.818.00 lakh. Keeping in view the huge gap in the IAY housing which was ranked second in the order of deficits, it was suggested that the proposed number of PHSCs may be reduced so that priority was given to housing. It was clarified by the Deputy Commissioner that these PHSCs were functional and having doctors, ANM and nurses. Land was confirmed to be available. Representative from the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare stated that the rate approved by the Deputy Commissioner that there were two approved method of implementation and rates for the construction of PHSCs in

U.P. First, construction of PHSCs @ Rs. 6.90 lakh, jointly implemented by the Gram Pardhan and ANM. It was stated this method took a long time for work to be completed. Second, @ Rs. 8.18 lakh per unit through State agency and this method of implementation was stated to be faster than the first one.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 25 PHSCs @ Rs.8.18 lakh at a total cost of Rs.204.50 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.173.83 lakh and Rs.30.67 lakh would be the State share (85:15 between centre and state). The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres, located in villages having the highest concentration of minority population, would be selected. NRHM construction norms, design, specification and standards would be followed and the State share would be provided. Letter of approval of the unit cost by State Government or State NRHM mission director should be provided. The list of the centres with location would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the centres funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of NRHM. It was agreed for release of 50% of the Central share as first instalment.

(ii) <u>Projects approved in-principle:</u>

(d) Upgradation and infrastructural development of ITI at Budaun and Bilsi: Total work participation and female work participation have been ranked as 6th and 5th respectively in the baseline survey of the district. Proposal was for the upgradation of infrastructure of ITIs at Budaun and Bilsi. This upgradation would include introduction of two new trades, mechanic consumer electronics and COPA in Govt. ITI at Budaun, introduction of a new fitter trade in Govt. ITI at Bilsi, open 1+1 additional units in electrician and fitter trades in Govt. ITI at Budaun, making up the shortage of tools, equipments and furniture in Govt. ITI at Budaun, constructing a new workshop building in Govt. ITI at Budaun for the two new trades as well as adding new additional units.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval to the proposal for upgradation of ITIs at Budaun and Bilsi at a total cost of Rs.135.32 lakh. This would, however, be subject to the condition that the State Government would provide a detailed project report prepared as per the specification, design, norms, modern courses/trades and standard laid down by the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT); locally relevant, modern trade and trades suitable for women should be taken up and DPR submitted for obtaining the advice of the Ministry of Labour & Employment. Confirmation of the State Government would be required that the ITI was sanctioned, and land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. Also the State Government would be required to provide details in respect of the numbers and type of courses, numbers of students enrolled and its capacity. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment after advice of the M/o Labour & Employment on receipt of DPR.

(e) Construction of ITI buildings at Bisauli and Babrala: Total work participation and female work participation have been ranked as 6th and 5th respectively in the baseline survey of the district. Proposal was for the construction of buildings for two existing ITIs at Bisauli and Babrala @ Rs.406.61 lakh at a total cost of Rs.813.22 lakh. The State Government representative confirmed that these ITIs have been sanctioned and was operating from the premises of an existing ITI at Budaun. Land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. However, as advised by the Ministry of Labour & Employment in earlier meetings of the Empowered Committee that the cost for establishment of a new ITI would be in the range of Rs.400 lakh to Rs.450 lakh, the proposal would need to be re-considered keeping this in mind. The M/o Labour & Employment had been recommending that the building design, specification, etc should be as per the standards of the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT) as it would facilitate NCVT recognition and provide better employment prospect to the students.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval for the construction for two existing ITIs at Bisauli and Babrala @ Rs.406.61 lakh per ITI at a total cost of Rs.813.22 lakh. This would, however, be subject to the condition that the State Government should provide a detailed project report prepared as per the specification, design, norms, modern courses/trades and standard laid down by the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT); locally relevant, modern trades and trades suitable for women should be taken up and DPR submitted for obtaining the advice of the Ministry of Labour & Employment. Confirmation of the State Government would be required that the ITI was sanctioned, and land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. Also the State Government would be required to provide details in respect of the numbers and type of courses, numbers of students enrolled and its capacity. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment after advice of the M/o Labour & Employment on receipt of DPR.

(iii) <u>Proposals requiring project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the</u> <u>Ministry/Department concerned in case the State Government desire to pursue the</u> <u>proposal:</u>

Installation of solar street light: The State Government officials were advised by the representative of the Ministry of New & Renewable Energy (NRE) that the proposal should be prepared as per approved unit cost, subsidy and contribution ratio of the

scheme of that Ministry. The proposal should be sent only after it was cleared by the Uttar Pradesh Renewable Energy Development Agency (UPREDA). It was also clarified by the representative from M/o NRE that Central Financial Assistance (CFA) for solar street light, for general areas, was allowed upto the extent of 50% of the cost subject to a maximum of Rs.9600/- per unit. The proposal should therefore clearly indicate how the balance cost of the proposal would be funded.

(iv) <u>Summary of projects of Budaun (U.P) district approved by the Empowered</u> <u>Committee</u>:

SI.	Name of the project	Sharing	No. of	Unit	Central	State	Total	1 st
no	for Budaun district	ratio	units	cost	share	share	cost	instalment
	(U.P.)							amount to
								be released
						Rupee in	lakh	1
Adn	ninistrative Approval							
a	IAY houses	75:25	4301	0.35	1129.01	376.34	1505.35	564.51
b	Construction of	100:00	1000	2.95	2950.00	-	2950.00	1475.00
	anganwadi centres							
с	Construction of	85:15	25	8.18	173.83	30.67	204.50	86.92
	building for PHSCs							
	Sub-total				4252.84	407.01	4659.85	2126.43
In-p	orinciple approval							
d	Upgradation and	100:00	02	-	135.32	-	135.32	67.66
	infrastructural							
	development at							
	Budaun and Bilsi ITI							
e	Construction of	100:00	02	406.61	813.22	-	813.22	406.61
	buildings for Bisauli							
	ITI and Babrala ITI							
	Sub-total				948.54	-	948.54	474.27
	Grand total				5201.38	407.01	5608.39	2600.70

(v) The State Government would set up an IT enabled cell for monitoring, reporting and evaluation of the programme and submit their proposal based on the type of hardware and configuration which would be advised by this Ministry shortly. The representative from the State Government was also advised to prepare and submit supplementary/revised/modified MsDP plan for the balance fund. The Empowered Committee advised that the revised plan may focus on a few proposals that address the deficits which could be easily funded such as, drinking water, skill development, education, income generating activities, and health, including saturating the schemes included in the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities. State Government was also advised to prepare a revised plan in such a manner that the various deficit indicators identified by the baseline survey were addressed in order of priority.

Item No.4: Moradabad (Uttar Pradesh)

The fund tentatively allocated for Moradabad district under the MsDP was Rs.69.60 crore for the 11th Plan period. A power point presentation of the MsDP plan of the district was made by the Deputy Commissioner, Moradabad. The district has 13 blocks and 1559 villages. Electricity ranked 1st in the baseline survey but has not been proposed as it would be covered under RGGVY. However, due to the problem of electricity availability in the district, solar street light have been proposed. The Deputy Commissioner clarified that district level committee were constituted. It was advised to the State Government that priority should be given to the higher priority areas in the order of deficits.

(i) **<u>Projects approved</u>**

(a) Construction of Primary Health sub Centre (PHSC): Health indicator i.e. percentage of institutional deliveries of 14.29% was below the national average of 38.7%. Proposal was for the construction of 100 PHSCs under MsDP @ Rs.8.18 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.818.00 lakh. Keeping in view the huge gap in the housing and its higher rank in the order of deficits, the number of PHSCs proposed was agreed to be reduced so that more fund would be available for IAY housing. It was clarified by the Deputy Commissioner that these PHSCs were functional and having doctors, ANM and nurses. Land was confirmed to be available. Representative from the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare stated that the rate approved by the State Mission Director for NRHM should be followed. It was also clarified by the Deputy Commissioner that there were two approved methods of implementation and rates for the construction of PHSCs in U.P. First, construction of PHSC @ Rs. 6.90 lakh, implemented jointly by the Gram Pardhan and ANM. This approach of implementation took a very long time for work to be completed. Second, @ Rs. 8.18 lakh per unit through State agency. This method of implementation was stated to be faster than the first one.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 25 PHSCs @ Rs.8.18 lakh at a total cost of Rs.204.50 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.173.83 lakh and Rs.30.67 lakh would be the State share (85:15 between centre and state). The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres, located in villages having the highest concentration of minority population, would be selected. NRHM construction norms, design, specification and standards would be followed and the State share would be provided. Letter of approval of the unit cost by State Government or State NRHM mission director should be provided. The list of the centres with location would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the centres funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of NRHM. It was agreed for release of 50% of the Central share as first instalment.

(b) Indira Awas Yojana (IAY): Households having pucca walls were ranked 4th in the order of deficit in the baseline survey. It was submitted that the 2002 household survey identified 32,736 BPL families for housing. The proposal was for construction of an additional 1874 IAY houses at the approved unit cost of the Ministry of Rural Development i.e. Rs.35,000/-.

The Empowered Committee approved construction of 1874 IAY houses at a total cost of Rs.655.90 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.491.93 lakh and Rs.163.97 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 75:25. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the assurance that the State Government would ensure that the IAY houses would be constructed in villages having the highest minority concentration, BPL families would be selected from the approved waiting list, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list as per the IAY guidelines. It was stressed that no deviation from the guidelines was permitted. The list of the villages, indicating the number of houses to be constructed would be provided. State share would be provided. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh would ensure that the units funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry concerned.

(c) Construction of anganwadi centres: Health indicator i.e. vaccination to the children of 17.53% was below the national average of 43.50%. It was submitted that out of 3191 anganwadi centres operational in the district, only 81 centres were having there own building. The proposal was for construction of 933 buildings for existing anganwadi centres in minority concentration areas @ Rs.2.95 lakh. Land and staff were confirmed to be available.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 933 anganwadi centres @ of Rs.2.95 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.2752.35 lakh, subject to the condition that the centres, as per the advice of the M/o WCD, would have a kitchen, store room, toilet and playing space and those centres, located in villages which have the highest concentration of minority population, would be selected. It was agreed that 50% of the central share will be released as 1st instalment. The State Government would provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

(d) Construction of Additional Class Rooms (ACRs) in primary and junior high schools: The proposal was for the construction of 105 ACRs in primary schools and 24 ACRs in junior high schools. This proposal was approved in the 12th meeting of Empowered Committee. Advice of the Ministry of Human Resource Development could not be obtained as the representative from that Ministry did not attend the meeting. A communication was received after the meeting from the Department of School Education & literacy, Ministry of Human Resource Development, vide their letter no. F.9-18/2008-EE.14 dated 05/03/09, stating that no ACRs are required to be given to Government primary/upper primary schools by the Ministry of Minority Affairs for the district Moradabad as they were covered under Sarva Siksha Abihayan (SSA). Hence, the recommendation in this regard has been changed.

(ii) **Projects approved in-principle:**

(e) Construction of buildings for ITI at Bilari and provision of essential tools/equipments/ machinery/furniture: Total work participation and female work participation have been ranked as 5th and 3rd respectively in the baseline survey of the district. Proposal was for the construction of buildings for an existing ITIs at Bilari at a total cost of Rs.270.26 lakh and procurement of essential tools/equipments/ machinery/furniture at a total cost of Rs.180.00 lakh. The State Government representative confirmed that these ITIs have been sanctioned and was operating from the rented building since the last three years. Land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. However, as advised by the Ministry of Labour & Employment in earlier meetings of the Empowered Committee the proposal would need to be re-considered. The M/o Labour & Employment had been recommending that the building design, specification, etc should be as per the standards of the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT) as it would facilitate NCVT recognition and provide better employment prospect to the students.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval for the construction of buildings for an existing ITI at Bilari at a total cost of Rs. 270.26 lakh and for procurement of essential tools/equipments/ machinery/furniture at a total cost of Rs.180.00 lakh. This would, however, be subject to the condition that the State Government should provide a detailed project report prepared as per the specification, design, norms, modern courses/trades and standard laid down by the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT); locally relevant, modern trades and trades suitable for women should be taken up and DPR submitted for obtaining the advice of the Ministry of Labour & Employment. Confirmation of the State Government would be required that the ITI was sanctioned, and land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. Also the State Government would be required to provide details in respect of the numbers and type of courses, numbers of students enrolled and its capacity. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment after obtaining advice of the M/o Labour & Employment on receipt of DPR.

- (iii) <u>Proposals requiring project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the</u> <u>Ministry/Department concerned in case the State Government desire to pursue the</u> <u>proposal:</u>
- (a) Installation of solar street light: The State Government officials were advised by the representative of the Ministry of New & Renewable Energy (NRE) that the proposal should be prepared as per approved unit cost, subsidy and contribution ratio of the scheme of that Ministry. The proposal should be sent only after it was cleared by the Uttar Pradesh Renewable Energy Development Agency (UPREDA). It was also clarified by the representative from M/o NRE that Central Financial Assistance (CFA) for solar street light, for general areas, was allowed upto the extent of 50% of the cost subject to a maximum of Rs.9600/- per unit. The proposal should therefore clearly indicate how the balance cost of the proposal would be funded.
- (b) Provision of tools/equipments/machinery for Skill Development Initiative Scheme (SDIS) MES Courses at ITI Bilari, Sambhal and Moradabad: State Government was advised to provide a detailed project report prepared as per the specification, design, norms, modern courses/trades and standard laid down by the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT); for obtaining the advice of the Ministry of Labour & Employment. Confirmation of the State Government would be required that class rooms/workshops, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available. Also the State Government would be required to provide details in respect of the numbers and type of courses, numbers of students enrolled and its capacity.
- (iv) <u>Proposals declined by the Empowered Committee as they were declined/not</u> identified as priority items in the baseline survey/not envisaged in the programme:
- (a) Hand pumps with iron removal plant in high schools: The representative from the Department of Drinking Water Supply stated that hand pumps were being provide under Rajiv Gandhi Drinking Water Mission and sufficient funds were available to cover all the schools, ICDS centres, government aided Madarsas, health centres under this mission. The State Government was advised to send such proposals directly to the Department of Drinking Water Supply.
- (b) Construction of Government inter colleges: This proposal could not be considered as the colleges have not yet started functioning. State Government was advised to first make these colleges operational.
- (c) Construction of Workshop & Theory rooms at ITI, Moradabad and provision of essential tools/equipments/machinery/furniture for ITI Moradabad: It was clarified by the representative from Ministry of Labour and Employment that this ITI has been covered under the World Bank existing Scheme for converting ITIs into centres of excellence.

(d) **Computer in Government colleges:** This project was declined as priority was to be accorded to general education first before resources is given for computer education, which required skilled staff, electricity, dedicated room, annual maintenance and frequent upgradation of hardware.

(iii) <u>Sum</u>	mary o	of proje	cts of	f Moradabad	(U.P)	district	approved	by	the	Empowered
Con	mittee:									

Sl. no	Name of the project for Moradabad district (U.P.)	Sharing ratio	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 st instalment amount to be released
					1	Rupee in l	lakh	
Adn	ninistrative Approval							
а	Construction of building for PHSCs	85:15	25	8.18	173.83	30.67	204.50	86.92
b	IAY houses	75:25	1874	0.35	491.93	163.97	655.90	245.97
с	Construction of anganwadi centres	100:00	933	2.95	2752.35	-	2752.35	1376.18
	Sub-total				3418.11	194.64	3612.75	1709.07
In-p	rinciple approval							
d	Construction of buildings for Bilari ITI	100:00	01	270.26	270.26	-	270.26	135.13
	provision of essential tools/equipments/mac hinery/furniture for Bilari ITI	100:00	-	-	180.00	-	180.00	90.00
	Sub-total				450.26	-	450.26	225.13
	Grand total				3868.37	194.64	4063.01	1934.2

(iv)The State Government would set up an IT enabled cell for monitoring, reporting and evaluation of the programme and submit their proposal based on the type of hardware and configuration which would be advised by this Ministry shortly. The representative from the State Government was also advised to prepare and submit supplementary/revised/modified MsDP plan for the balance fund. The Empowered Committee advised that the revised plan may focus on a few proposals that address the deficits which could be easily funded such as, drinking water, skill development, education, income generating activities, and health, including saturating the schemes included in the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities. State Government was also advised to prepare a revised plan in such a manner that the various deficit indicators identified by the baseline survey were addressed in order of priority.

Item No.5: Gajapati (Orissa)

The fund tentatively allocated for Gajapati district under the MsDP was Rs.31.30 crore for the 11th Plan period. A power point presentation of the MsDP plan of the district was made by the Deputy Commissioner, Gajapati. The district has seven blocks and 129 Gram Panchayat. Electricity ranked 1st among the list of development deficits in the baseline survey. Proposals were made for electrification, but was not considered as such proposals covering a large number of villages could best be taken up under RGGVY. Sanitation ranked 2nd but was not proposed because it would be covered under Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC). Housing ranked 3rd in the baseline survey but was not proposed as according to the Deputy Commissioner there were difficulties in implementation due to poor road infrastructure and remoteness of the backward areas in the district. Considering that the percentage of housing with pucca walls ranked 3rd in the order of priority and needed attention, State Government representative was advised to propose for IAY housing in the revised plan. It was stated by the Deputy Commissioner that district level committee was constituted. In respect of fulfillment of condition under para 15.1 of the guidelines, the State Government representative was advised to provide confirmation in writing.

(i) **Projects approved**

(a) Construction of anganwadi centres: Health indicator i.e. vaccination to the children of 56.02% was above the national average of 43.50%. It was submitted that there was no scheme for the construction of anganwadi centres in the State. The proposal was for construction of 48 buildings for existing anganwadi centres @ Rs.4.50 lakh and 103 mini anganwadi centres @Rs.4.50 lakh in minority concentration areas. The unit cost was considered too high and it was suggested that the unit cost of Rs.3.00 lakh recommended by the Ministry of Women & Child Development (WCD) be adopted. The representative of the State Government and the Deputy Commissioner stated that the cost of construction was high in this district due to poor infrastructure and high cost of transportation but agreed to the proposed unit cost of Rs. 3.00 lakh. In respect of mini anganwadi centres, it was clarified by the Deputy Commissioner that the construction design, specification of anganwadi and mini anganwadi centres were same. The only differences was in respect of the number of staff in the centres. The number of staff in anganwadi centres was one helper and one worker with capacity for 500 children while mini anganwadi centre had only one worker with capacity for 300 children. The submission made by the Deputy Commissioner could not be clarified as the representative from the Ministry of Women and Child Development was not present in the meeting. Land and staff were confirmed to be available.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 48 anganwadi centres @ of Rs.3.00 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.144.00 lakh and 103 mini anganwadi centres @ of Rs.3.00 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.309.00 lakh subject to the condition that the centres, as per the advice of the M/o WCD, would have a kitchen, store room, toilet and playing space and those centres located in villages which have the highest concentration of minority population would be selected. It was agreed that 50% of the central share will be released as 1st instalment. The State Government would provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

(b) Construction of Primary Health sub Centre (PHSC): Health indicator i.e. percentage of institutional deliveries of 14.06% were below the national average of 38.7%. Proposal was for the construction of 15 PHSCs under MsDP @ Rs.6.70 lakh per unit at a total cost of Rs.100.50 lakh. It was clarified by the Deputy Commissioner that these PHSCs were functioning in the rented building and doctors, ANM and nurses were available. Land was confirmed to be available. Representative from the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare stated that the rate approved by the State Mission Director for NRHM should be followed.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 15 PHSCs @ Rs.6.70 lakh at a total cost of Rs.100.50 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.85.43 lakh and Rs.15.07 lakh would be the State share (85:15 between centre and state). The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres, located in villages having the highest concentration of minority population, would be selected. NRHM construction norms, design, specification and standards would be followed and the State share would be provided. Letter of approval of the unit cost by State Government or State NRHM mission director should be provided. The list of the centres with location would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Orissa should ensure that the centres funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of NRHM. It was agreed for release of 50% of the Central share as first instalment.

(c) Construction of Additional Class Rooms (ACRs) in high schools: Literacy rate (total) and female literacy has been identified as the 4th and 5th deficit in the district respectively. Proposal was for the construction of 11 ACRs in High schools @Rs.3.00 lakh at a total cost of Rs.33.00 lakh.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal for the construction of 11 ACRs in high schools @Rs.3.00 lakh at a total cost of Rs.33.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.24.75 lakh and Rs.8.25 lakh would be the State share in the

ratio of 75:25 as per the Rastriya Madhyamik Siksha Abhiyan (RMSA) funding pattern. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The State Government would ensure that the cost for the construction of ACRs was approved by the PWD, land and State share would be provided, and a list of villages where the schools were situated along with the proportion of minority population would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Orissa may ensure that the ACRs funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry of Human Resource Development.

- (ii) <u>Proposals requiring project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the</u> <u>Ministry/Department concerned in case the State Government desired to pursue the</u> <u>proposal:</u>
- (a) Establishment of computer lab at TRW high school, computer lab in class (VIII-X), computer lab in KGBN: State Government was advised to prepare a detail project profile clearly stating availability of computer trainer, availability of electricity, class room, provision for annual maintenance and upgradation of computers etc.
- (b) Establishment of science laboratory: State Government was advised to prepare a detail project profile clearly stating availability of man power for lab, components of the lab, availability of school building, procedure for the purchase of equipment, executing agency etc.
- (c) Improvement of High schools: State Government was advised to prepare a detail project profile clearly stating availability of land, staff, recurring cost, location of schools, proportion of minority students in these schools, items of works included for improvement.
- (iii) Proposals declined by the Empowered Committee as they were declined/not identified as priority items in the baseline survey/not envisaged in the programme:
 Construction of model anganwadi centres: Provision for model anganwadi centres is not a centrally sponsored scheme (CSS) and was declined.
- (iv) General observations of the Empowered Committee: The Empowered Committee noted that the several small proposals were made in a diffused manner without enough justification and details and could not be considered. The State Government was advised to make out a revised plan for the balance fund available in accordance with the guidelines of the MsDP keeping in view the proposals which have already been approved under MsDP and for other States.

Sl. no	Name of the project for Gajapati district (Orissa)	Sharing ratio	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 st instalment amount to be released
						Rupee in	lakh	
Adr	ninistrative Approval							
a	Construction of anganwadi centres	100:00	48	3.00	144.00	-	144.00	72.00
	Construction of mini anganwadi centres	100:00	103	3.00	309.00	-	309.00	154.50
b	Construction of building for PHSCs	85:15	15	6.70	85.43	15.07	100.50	42.72
с	Construction of ACRs in high schools	75:25	11	3.00	24.75	8.25	33.00	12.38
	Total				563.18	23.32	586.50	281.6

(iv) <u>Summary of projects of Gajapati (Orissa) district approved by the Empowered</u> <u>Committee</u>:

(v) The State Government would set up an IT enabled cell for monitoring, reporting and evaluation of the programme and submit their proposal based on the type of hardware and configuration which would be advised by this Ministry shortly. The representative from the State Government was also advised to prepare and submit supplementary/revised/modified MsDP plan for the balance fund. The Empowered Committee advised that the revised plan may focus on a few proposals that address the deficits which could be easily funded such as, drinking water, skill development, education, income generating activities, and health, including saturating the schemes included in the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities. State Government was also advised to prepare a revised plan in such a manner that the various deficit indicators identified by the baseline survey were addressed in order of priority.

Item No.6: Senapati district

District plan of Senapati were considered in the 7th Empowered Committee (EC) meeting. The administrative approvals for **Rs.1037.39 lakh** (central share) and in principle approval for **Rs.360.00 lakh** (central share) were accorded by the EC. In total, the EC had approved projects for **Rs.1397.39 lakh** (central share) out of the tentative allocation of **Rs.2050.00 lakh**, leaving a balance of **Rs.652.61 lakh** for the district Senapati. In the revised plan for balance fund, the State Government clarified that the State Labour Department was not in a position to provide any additional staff for inprinciple approved proposal for setting up of a vocational training centre for **Rs.180.00 lakh**. This project has now been proposed to be dropped. For the balance fund of **Rs.832.61 lakh**, a revised plan has been made mainly in the form of augmenting the units

of projects already approved for the district in the 7th Empowered Committee (EC) meeting.

(i) **Project approved**

(a) Indira Awas Yojana (IAY): Households having pucca walls were ranked 2nd in the order of deficit in the baseline survey. The proposal was for construction of 30 houses in addition to 390 units approved in the 7th EC meeting at the approved unit cost of the Ministry of Rural Development i.e. Rs.38,500/- under MsDP from balance fund. The funding pattern of IAY between Centre and north eastern States was in the ratio of 90:10.

The Empowered Committee approved the construction of 30 IAY houses for a total cost of Rs.11.55 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP and State share would be Rs.10.40 lakhs and Rs.1.15 lakh respectively. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the condition that State Government would ensure that the IAY houses would be distributed among BPL families from the approved waiting list, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list as per the IAY guidelines. State share would be provided. The list of the villages along with the number of houses to be constructed would be furnished by the State Government. To prevent duplication the Government of Manipur should ensure that the units funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and the Central Ministry concerned informed.

(b) Construction of labour room attached to the existing health sub-centres: Percentage of institutional delivery is just 4.40% compared to the national average of 38.70% and required intervention to improve the parameter. The proposal was for construction of 4 labour rooms in the existing health sub-centres in addition to 30 units approved in the 7th EC meeting at a unit cost of Rs.1.50 lakh under MsDP. Land and personnel were stated to be available. It was confirmed that the unit cost of Rs.1.50 lakh was approved by the State Government as per the NRHM norms, specification and pattern. The funding ratio between Centre and State, including north eastern States was reported to be 85:15.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 4 labour rooms attached to existing health sub-centres, at a total cost of Rs.6.00 lakh, of which Rs.5.10 lakh would be borne by the Centre and Rs.0.90 lakh by the State. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would saturate the sub-centres requirement in areas having the highest concentration of minority population, NRHM construction norms, design, specification would be followed and State share would be provided, a list of villages where the sub-centres would be constructed would be furnished, and to prevent duplication the Government of Manipur would ensure that the

health sub-centres funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and the Central Ministry concerned informed. In order to achieve 100% institutional delivery as envisaged under NRHM, the State Government/NRHM Mission Director would ensure that corresponding consumables and staff are provided to such sub-centres.

(c) Construction of Primary schools/Junior High School buildings: The proposal was for construction of 72 primary schools in addition to 20 units approved in the 7th EC meeting @ of Rs.10 lakh having 6 rooms and three junior high school buildings in addition to 10 units approved in the 7th EC meeting @ Rs.15 lakh having 9 rooms in accordance with SSA norms. It was stated that more than half of the schools under the District Councils did not have buildings and required intervention under MsDP to improve their condition. It was clarified that SSA permitted construction of additional class rooms only and the proposal for construction of new school buildings were not covered under SSA. Land was confirmed to be available.

The Empowered Committee approved the construction of 72 primary schools @ of Rs.10 lakh and three junior high school buildings @ Rs.15 lakh at a total cost of Rs.765.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.688.50 lakh and Rs.76.50 lakh as State share on 90:10 SSA fund sharing pattern. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the condition that the villages having the highest minority concentration and those not covered under SSA would be selected, the State Government would ensure that the cost for the construction of school building was as per the State Government's scheduled of rates and approved by the competent authority, list of villages and their proportion of minority population where these schools would be constructed would be provided, and to prevent duplication the Government of Manipur should ensure that the schools funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and the Central Ministry concerned informed.

(d) **Drinking water facility:** Safe drinking water was identified as the first priority in the baseline survey report. Out of 691 villages, 97 were fully covered, work was in progress in 138 villages and 184 villages were not covered. Most of the villages had a perennial water source from stream situated around 3 to 5 kms upstream which were harnessed by constructing an in-take tank at source and brought by gravity flow through GI pipes to the village, stored in a reservoir and then distributed to different parts of the village. The proposal was for the construction of such water supply in 14 villages @ Rs.10 lakh at a total cost of Rs.140.00 lakh in addition to 61 already approved in the 7th EC meeting. The State Government representative confirmed that Rs.10 lakh was the approved unit cost as per the State Government's schedule of rates.

The Empowered Committee approved the construction of water supply system in 14 villages at a total cost of Rs.140.00 of which Central share would be Rs.126.00 lakh and State share Rs.14.00 lakh on 90:10 sharing ratio, as per the ARWSP for the northeast. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the condition that the approved cost was as per ARWSP norms, villages having the highest proportion of minority population, which are sourceless, would be selected, the list of the villages would be provided, and to prevent duplication the Government of Manipur should ensure that the assets funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and the Central Department concerned informed.

Sl. no	Name of the project for Senapati district (Manipur)	Sharing ratio	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 st instalment amount to be released
						Rupee i	in lakh	
Pro	posal approved							
а	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	90:10	30	0.385	10.40	1.15	11.55	5.20
b	Construction of labour room attached to health sub- centres	85:15	4	1.50	5.10	0.90	6.00	2.55
c	Construction of primary schools buildings	90:10	72	10.00	648.00	72.00	720.00	324.00
	Construction of junior high schools buildings	90:10	3	15.00	40.50	4.50	45.00	20.25
d	Construction of drinking water supply scheme for villages	90:10	14	10.00	126.00	14.00	140.00	63.00
	Total				830.00	92.55	922.55	415.00

(ii) Summary of projects of Senapati district approved by the Empowered Committee:

Item No.7: Ukhrul district

District plan of Ukhrul were considered in the 7th Empowered Committee (EC) meeting. The administrative approvals for **Rs. 686.46 lakh** (central share) and inprinciple approval for **Rs. 1117.50 lakh** (central share) were accorded by the EC in various projects. In total EC had approved projects for **Rs. 1803.96 lakh** (central share) out of the tentative allocation of **Rs.1990.00 lakh**, leaving a balance fund of **Rs.186.04 lakh** for the district of Ukhrul. In the revised plan for balance fund, State Government clarified that the State Labour Department was not in the position to provide any additional staff for in-principle approved proposal for setting up a vocational training centre for **Rs.150.00 lakh**. This project has now been proposed to be dropped. For the balance fund of **Rs.336.04 lakh**, a revised plan has been proposed for the construction of primary school buildings.

(i) **Project approved**

(a) Construction of primary school buildings: Literacy rate (total) and female literacy have been identified as the 5th and 6th deficits in the district respectively. The proposal was for construction of 38 primary school buildings @ Rs.10.00 lakh. It was clarified by the State Secretary vide letter no A/71-MOBC/2009 that additional 38 primary schools were needed in the district council and it would saturate such requirement in the district. It further stated that all the schools are already existing, lands were available and donated by villagers and there would be no duplication. It was clarified that SSA permitted construction of additional class rooms only and the proposal for construction of new school buildings were not covered under SSA. Land was confirmed to be available.

The proposal for construction of 38 primary school buildings @ Rs.10.00 lakh was approved by the Empowered Committee at a total cost of Rs.380.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.342.00 lakh and Rs.38.00 lakh as State share. Though Rs.336.04 lakh was available as balance fund for this district and with the approval of 38 units central contribution was exceeding by Rs.5.96 lakh of the tentative allocation of the fund to this district, therefore number of approved unit for the construction of primary school building reduced to 37 units @ Rs.10.00 lakh at a total cost of Rs.370.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.333.00 lakh and Rs.37.00 lakh as State share. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the condition that schools in villages having the highest minority concentration not covered under SSA would be selected, the State Government would ensure that the estimates for the construction of school buildings were prepared as per the State Government's schedule of rates and approved by the competent authority, list of schools and proportion of minority population where these buildings would be constructed would be provided, and to prevent duplication, the Government of Manipur would ensure that the schools funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and the Central Ministry concerned informed.

Sl. no	Name of the project for Ukhrul district (Manipur)	Sharing ratio	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 st instalment amount to be released
						Rupee in	lakh	
Dmos	nocal approved							
Pro	posal approved							

(ii) <u>Summary of projects of Ukhrul district approved by the Empowered Committee</u>: