# F. No. 3/83/2010-PP-I GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS

MINUTES OF THE 37<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF EMPOWERED COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER DISTRICT **PLANS** AND APPROVE THE **UNDER MULTI-SECTORAL** DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME IN MINORITY CONCENTRATION DISTRICTS OF (i) EAST KAMENG, (ii) TAWANG, (iii) CHANGLANG, (iv) WEST KAMENG, (v) (vi) LOWER SUBANSIRI, (vi) PAPUM PARE, AND (vii) TIRAP OF **ARUNACHAL** PRADESH AND IN-PRINCIPLE APPROVED PROJECT FOR GHAZIABAD (UTTAR ON 23<sup>RD</sup> AUGUST. PRADESH) HELD 2010 AT 11.00 A.M. **UNDER** THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS.

A list of members and officials present in the meeting is annexed.

- At the outset, the Chairperson of the Empowered Committee stated that Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP) for Minority Concentration Districts (MCD) was now an iconic programme of the Central Government. The progress of implementation was reviewed on quarterly basis by the Delivery Monitoring Unit (DMU) in PMO and the status of implementation of multi-sectoral development (MsD) plans in MCDs are placed on the web-site of the Ministry. The details consists of status of submission and approval of MsD plans and revised plans to the Ministry by the States, funds released to the States, progress of expenditure, number of work completed, in progress and yet to be started etc. Placing such details, including photographs, have made information available to the public and facilitated social audit. It was, therefore, imperative that the State Governments/UT Administrations implement the programme properly as per schedule, and monitor and review the performance regularly and closely. State Governments/UT Administrations should particularly ensure that delay does not take place and funds, both Central and State share (wherever applicable), are released to the districts within one month of receipt from the Centre. The districts in turn should release to the executing agencies at the earliest so that work is started and completed within the scheduled period. The Quarterly Progress Report (QPR) format for MsDP comprehensively covers all important aspects of implementation of the programme and in the context of presenting the latest data and information accurately on the website, the States/UTs should ensure that QPRs with all its columns filled properly are submitted to the Ministry for every quarter ending within the stipulated date.
- 3. The Chairperson of the Empowered Committee explained the background how 90 minority concentration districts (MCDs) were identified as backward and why the scheme of multi-sectoral development programme (MsDP) was launched for addressing the 'development deficits' of such districts. He added that the baseline survey report, as envisaged in the programme, not only brought out the updated position in respect of the relevant parameters used for identification of such district, but also ranked the development deficits in order of the extent of deprivation in the district. It was expected that the MsD plan submitted by the State Government would address the identified development deficits in the order of priority ranked by a baseline survey so that the various interventions by MsDP would result in improvement of the

backwardness parameters of a minority concentration district and bring the relevant parameters at par with the national averages. It was therefore important to ensure that, in case a deficit, ranked higher in the order of deprivation, was not proposed to be addressed by the plan, it would be incumbent on the part of the District Level Committee and the State Level Committee to do so or bring out the reasons for not doing so.

- 4. The Chairperson stated that the fact that these districts were not just MCDs, having a substantial minority population, but were also districts comprising of other communities who suffer from the same backwardness and deprivation, and that the identification of the district as backward was also based on four parameters which was for the whole district, should not be lost sight of. It was important to keep in mind that the large presence of minorities may have resulted in the identification of such districts for appropriate developmental intervention, but the scheme, while giving priority to villages/areas having a substantial minority population, was intended to benefit the district as a whole as it is a special area development programme. Villages/locations with the highest proportion (percentage) of minorities should be selected for location of project/assets so that the minorities enjoy the locational advantage of deriving maximum benefit from the asset provided. In respect of Indira Awas Yojana (IAY), the entire number of units sanctioned under MsDP would be given to BPL households, from minority communities as well as other communities, in order of the serial number in the approved wait list without making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST). Improving the relevant backwardness indices upto national averages was the primary mandate of the scheme for inclusive growth. The programme envisages providing additional resources to various existing Centrally Sponsored Schemes (CSS) which were already addressing national concerns with timetested guidelines and implementation mechanism, especially those included in the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities, for saturating them in MCDs. As envisaged in the programme, the States/UTs were advised to ensure that topping up CSS, wherever appropriate, could be proposed in the district plan as these are established schemes and could be implemented with ease without setting up new structures for implementing them. The Chairperson emphasized that deviations from the existing guidelines of Centrally Sponsored Schemes were not permitted under the MsDP.
- 5. It was important to ensure that funds given under MsDP are to be treated as additionality for supplementing the resources for CSS and the existing level of resources allocated annually under various CSS to the district is not to be reduced. To prevent diversion of funds from MCDs, the flow of fund to the district concerned in the previous year would be taken as a benchmark. It was crucial that basic requirements like primary and secondary education, skill development, safe drinking water, housing etc. were addressed on priority, depending upon their deprivation ranking. The responsibility for eliminating duplication of work and avoiding double counting of a scheme under two funding sources vested with both the district authority and the State Government. It was stressed by the Chairperson that accounts under MsDP should be maintained separately and Central Ministry concerned informed of assets created in respect of CSS topped up under MsDP.
- 6. It has been noticed that in some cases that the State Governments have taken much time in releasing funds to the district/implementing agency. All sanction letters stipulate that funds should be released to the district/implementing agency within a month's time. Further, wherever

more than a year has lapsed since the release of funds, 100% expenditure was required to be achieved and utilization certificate to that effect furnished for claiming release of 2<sup>nd</sup> instalment.

- 7. It was emphasized by the Chairperson that Quality control was to be ensured through regular and frequent field visits and by testing samples of the construction work for which funds would be sanctioned by the Ministry on receipt of request from the State concerned. National Level Monitors have been appointed for each MCD and they have already started field visits to report on the quality of assets and the outcome/impact of the asset created. Further, Members of Parliament (MP) and Member of Legislative Assembly (MLAs) have been included in the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the committee for MsDP. It was therefore important that the State Government give the highest priority in ensuring that the programme is implemented properly.
- 8. The provision in para 19 of the scheme of MsDP requiring erection or displaying a plate on a 'display board' was pointed out, which should have—Name of the project/scheme printed followed by "Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Govt. of India." For projects with longer construction period, in addition to above, the name of implementing agency, date of sanction of the project, likely date of completion and estimated cost of the project should be printed on the display boards. The State Government representatives were advised to put up a permanent display on completion of each project.

### General conditions applicable to projects approved by the Empowered Committee

- **9.** For approvals given by the Empowered Committee, including in-principle approvals, the Principal Secretary/Secretary of States/UTs and the District Collectors/representatives were advised to note that the following conditions would apply to all projects under MsDP and compliance with these was to be ensured by the State Government/UT administration concerned, if not already complied with.
- (i) Approval of the State department/Mission Director for Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) concerned specifically confirming that -
  - (a) there is a need for having the proposal sanctioned under MsDP;
  - (b) duplication has been ruled out;
  - (c) the proposal is as per norms, specifications, layout design and funding norms of the CSS guidelines concerned;
  - (d) the proposal has been prepared as per the unit cost/norms prescribed for the CSS concerned;
  - (e) the estimates have been prepared as per Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and have been approved by a competent engineering department of the State Govt;
  - (f) separate accounts for schemes under MsDP will be maintained and details sent to the Central Ministry concerned for maintaining proper record of assets and avoiding double counting and duplication;
  - (g) staff is available in respect of a functioning unit or staff would be provided in respect of a new unit;
  - (h) recurring expenditure would be provided by the State Government; and
  - (i) land is available and/or will be provided by the State Government

- (ii) The State Government representative should confirm, if not indicated already in the minutes of the State level committee held for recommending the district plans, that :-
  - (a) State share, wherever applicable for CSS, would be provided as per its funding pattern by the State Government;
  - (b) funds under MsDP will not be used for purchase of land, repairs, maintenance, staff and running of the scheme; and
  - (c) villages/locations with the highest proportion (percentage) of minority population will be selected for location of project/assets. A list of such villages/locations along with the proportion (percentage) of minority population of each village/location would be provided.

### Review meetings of Oversight committee in State, and State and district level committees

10. The scheme of MsDP envisages quarterly review meetings by the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the review committees for MsDP. The State level committee also doubles as the Oversight Committee for MsDP. It was advised that quarterly review meetings for State level committee/State Oversight Committee and district level Committee should be held and copy of the meeting notice should be sent to the Ministry to enable a representative to attend State Level meetings as envisaged under MsDP. Members of Parliament have been nominated in such Committees by the Central Government and they should be invited to attend the meetings. MLAs are also to be nominated on the Committees by the State Government.

#### Sample testing and quality control

10.2 Need for ensuring quality control by having samples tested was emphasized. The Ministry will provide the expenditure required for this purpose from the administrative cost provision under MsDP. The State officials were advised to send proposals for release of funds for this purpose. The district officials confirmed that no separate allocation for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes for IAY units sanctioned under MsDP has been made and the entire IAY units are given to BPL families in the order their names appear in the IAY approved wait list even if they belong to non-minority communities. They understood that it was for this reason that villages/localities having the highest percentage of minority population were being selected for ensuring that maximum benefits are derived by persons from the minority communities.

#### **Photographs**

10.3 Photographs of work in progress and completed should be placed on the website of the district, State and the Ministry. The officials form the State and districts were impressed upon the need to have photographs, in digital format, sent to the Ministry and also host them in the official website of the State and district. The photos in digital format may be sent to the **email address** of Dr. Pardeep Kumar, Research Officer in the Ministry p.kamboj@nic.in

### Preparation of revised district plan

11. After consideration of the district plans by the Empowered Committee meeting, in case there was still balance funds available for a MCD, it was advised for consideration of the committee at the State and district levels that the revised plan may be prepared to address the identified development deficits of the district and may include proposal for construction of hostels in secondary/higher secondary schools from class IX to XII where there is no residential school facility for girl and boy students. Girl students from rural areas passing out from KGBV may not be in a position to continue their studies at secondary/higher secondary level as there may be no hostel facility after class VIII. Boy students may require such facility from upper primary school level onwards as KGBV type of residential schools are not provided for them. Construction of hostels could be proposed and the conditions required to be kept in view are at **Annex-A.** 

Further, additional class rooms as per SSA norms for upper primary school could be considered and proposed for KGBVs where class rooms also serve as dormitory for girl students.

Inter college/secondary/higher secondary school could be constructed under MsDP as per the scheme of D/o School Education & Literacy for setting up of 6000 model schools at block level under Kendriya Vidyalaya School (KVS) norms in conformity with the KVS/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) pattern. Requirement/conditions in this regard is at **Annex-B** 

The availability of ITIs/increasing the capacity of existing ITIs for skill education in the district and also to facilitate training in a number of courses under Modular Employable Scheme would need to be considered and proposed for catering to students who, for various reasons, are unable to continue school education. In case the govt. is ready to give commitment for meeting the recurring expenditure including staff provision and provide land, construction of ITI along with hostel facility and machinery requirement as per NCVT norms could be proposed under MsDP. The conditions required to be kept in view are at **Annex-C.** 

Similarly, in respect of construction of polytechnics the requirements laid down in **Annex-D** will be applicable.

### **Recommendations of the Empowered Committee (EC)**

12. The Empowered Committee considered the district plans of (i) East Kameng, (ii) Tawang, (iii) Changlang, (iv) West Kameng, (v) Lower Subansiri, (vii) Papum Pare, and (vii) Tirap of Arunachal Pradesh and in-principle approved project for Ghaziabad district (Uttar Pradesh). The Secretary, Government of Arunachal Pradesh confirmed that all requisite conditions for implementation of MsDP have been fulfilled except the condition requiring the State Government to give commitment for providing State share wherever required. It was submitted by the Deputy Commissioners/representative from the districts that even if State share is not given by the State Government, they will be able to arrange it from the MPLAD or MLALAD funds. The Chairperson observed that for north eastern States, the State share component for most CSS was only 10% and the State Government should consider providing for such contribution. He pointed out that there are several CSS which are funded fully (100%) by

the Centre such as construction of industrial training institutes (ITI), polytechnic institutes, hostels for girls, anganwadi centres (AWCs) etc. and suggested that only such proposals could be considered for approval. He expressed the futility of considering and approving projects having State share component in view of the State Govt's decision not to provide State's contribution. Given the efforts made by the Government of India to convince the State Government to implement MsDP, which took two years, not much time is left for completing the implementation of MsDP in 11<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan. It was possible that some higher ranked deficits which need to be addressed are completely left out from consideration for want of State share commitment. In view of these, the State Secretary was advised to once again approach the State Government in this regard and voice the concern that some of the objectives of MsDP may be left unaddressed. The Ministry has been urging the States/UTs to consider construction of new ITIs, polytechnic institutes, senior higher secondary schools and hostels as they would have more beneficial impact on the education of youth in the society. Hostels for girls and boys would provide residential facility to students, especially from the rural areas, and facilitate their studies at secondary and higher secondary levels. Further, availability of Industrial Training Institutes (ITIs) and polytechnic institutes with hostel facility would provide alternatives to students who are unable to pursue school education or have dropped out of school. The representative from the Ministry of Labour & Employment stated that an institute could be set up in such a manner that both the trades of ITI and courses of polytechnic could be offered in a single institute in case of apprehension that there may not be sufficient number of students opting for skill education. He added that, with the availability of such infrastructure, Modular Employable Skill courses could be offered to the youth for self-employment making skill education an attractive occupational option. It was explained by the State Secretary and Deputy Commissioners that the State suffered from problems of accessibility as many villages are still not connected by roads. Construction of Semi Permanent Type (SPT) structures and bamboo structures were common for areas having road transport accessibility problems in view of the very high cost of transportation due to the absence of road network. Construction of concrete and brick structures was possible only in places which are connected by roads. It was also submitted that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and the variations in the cost estimates made as per the SOR by a competent engineering department of similar structure was on account of the type of structure and material used, the distance of the location.

- 12.1 The State Secretary and the Deputy Commissioners were informed about the dedicated IT Cell to be set up in each district, the directorate and the State department. Computers, printer, furniture etc. could be procured and one contract personnel engaged for using the software application developed for monitoring the programme. The State Secretary was advised to send proposal for sanction and release of fund for setting up IT Cells in the districts, directorate and the State department to the Ministry.
- 13. The Secretary of State Government and Deputy Commissioners/representatives clarified and confirmed the status and fulfillment of conditions in the guidelines of MsDP as well as those given in para 9 above and assured that the list of villages/locations along with percentage of minority population would be sent to the Ministry of Minority Affairs. The conclusions that emerged in the meeting of the Empowered Committee are given below.

### **Item No.1 East Kameng (Arunachal Pradesh):**

Minority population is about 27.43% of the total population of the district. East Kameng is a category 'A' district having both socio-economic and basic amenities parameters below the national average. The fund allocated for the MsDP Plan was Rs. 18.70 crore for the 11<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan.

# A. Projects Approved:

Construction of school building, additional classroom, computer rooms and toilets **(i)** in Govt. schools: Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> respectively in the baseline survey of the district. Construction of 30 school building, 59 additional classrooms, 4 computer rooms and 2 toilets in government schools for a total cost of Rs.521.50 lakh was proposed at varying costs. The proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government taking into account SSA/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) norms and the variations in the cost was on account of the type of structure, material used and the distance of the location. The State Secretary added that these were functioning schools and the proposals have been approved by the Education Department and the schools are located in minority concentration areas. The representative from district stated that the assets will be constructed in villages with the highest proportion of minority population and a list will be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 30 school building, 59 additional classrooms, 4 computer rooms and 2 toilets in govt. schools for a total cost of Rs.521.50 lakh at varying costs, subject to the following conditions:-furnishing the approval of the Mission Director of SSA/RMSA in respect of need, adherence to norms, normative unit cost and elimination of duplication of work under SSA/RSMA; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of the school buildings, ACRs, computer rooms and toilets sanctioned under Multi-sectoral Development Programme by the Ministry of Minority Affairs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o HRD, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD, M/o Tribal Affairs etc; all the schools where these facilities are to be located should be government schools; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or the commitment given by the Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; and letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.467.55 lakh and Rs.51.95 lakh as State share in the ratio of 90:10 between Centre and State for north eastern States. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Sharing	Total	Unit cost	Central	State	Total	
No		ratio	no.		Share	Share	cost	
			units		(90%)	(10%)		
	School building (30 units)			(Lakh Rs.)				

1.	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	2	6.00	9.00	1.00	12.00
2	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	7	10.00	63.00	9.00	70.00
3	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	7	5.00	31.50	3.50	35.00
4	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	2	20.00	36.00	4.00	40.00
5	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	3	7.00	18.90	2.10	21.00
6	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	6	2.00	10.80	1.20	12.00
7	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	1	40.00	36.00	4.00	40.00
8	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	1	15.00	13.50	1.50	15.00
9	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	1	8.00	7.20	0.80	8.00
10	ACRs (59 units) toilets( 4 units) and computer room (2 units)						
11	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	15	1.50	20.25	2.25	22.50
12	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	1	13.00	11.70	1.30	13.00
13	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	7	3.00	18.90	2.10	21.00
14	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	8	2.00	14.40	1.60	16.00
15	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	2	4.00	7.20	0.80	8.00
16	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	11	2.50	24.75	2.75	27.50
17	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	5	5.00	22.50	2.50	25.00
18	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	1	20.00	18.00	2.00	20.00
19	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	6	10.00	54.00	4.00	60.00
20	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	1	5.00	4.50	0.50	5.00
21	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	2	6.00	10.80	1.20	12.00
22	Construction of computer room in Govt. schools	90:10	4	3.00	10.80	1.20	12.00
23	Construction of toilets in schools	90:10	1	20.00	18.00	2.00	20.00
24	Construction of toilets in schools	90:10	1	6.50	5.85	0.65	6.50
	Total		65		467.55	51.95	521.5

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(ii) Construction of 6 hostels for girls in Government schools: Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as  $7^{th}$  and  $8^{th}$  in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for construction of 6 hostels; two for girls and four for boys for a total cost of Rs.40.00 lakh at varying costs. The State Secretary informed that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and the variations in the cost was on account of the type of structure, material used and the distance of the location. The State Secretary, Social Welfare Department and the Deputy Commissioner/representative stated that the hostels would be located in existing schools and would be managed by a management committee of the school. A teacher would be assigned to be the warden and the school chowkidar would also serve as the chowkidar for the hostel. Maintenance of the hostel will be borne by the Government. It was assured that these hostels would be located in Government schools covering areas having the highest proportion of minority population and would be used only by school students. Availability of such facility will help the students living in villages/rural areas where secondary and senior secondary schools are not available to enable them to continue their education in a safe and protected environment at lower cost. The State Secretary and the representative from the district stated that a list of villages with percentage of minority population covered by each school would be provided. It was informed that girls' hostels would be provided 100% Central funds while boy hostels would be eligible for funding in the ratio of 50:50 between Centre and State. Since State share commitment has not been given yet by the State Government, it was suggested by the officials from the State Government that all the hostels would be for girls and it would be ensured that it was used only by girl students.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 6 hostels for girls for a total cost of Rs. 40.00 lakh at varying costs as given below, subject to the following conditions: furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; all the schools to which the hostels are attached should be government schools; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of hostels for girls from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD, M/o Tribal Affairs etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in Annex-A and para 9 have been fulfilled. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

Proposal for consideration and approval of the Empowered Committee:

S.	Name of the scheme	Sharing	Total	Unit	Central	State	Total
No.	7 (4.2.2.0 02.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	ratio	no.	cost	Share	Share	cost
			units				
					(Lakh	Rs.)	
1	Construction of girls hostel at Richukrong M.E School	100:00	1	8.00	8.00		8.00
2	Construction of girls hostel in Govt. residential school at Passa	100:00	1	5.00	5.00		5.00
3	Construction of girls hostel in Govt. ME school at Rilloh	100:00	1	7.00	7.00		7.00
4	Construction of girls hostel in Govt. IV school at Gumtung village	100:00	1	5.00	5.00		5.00
5	Construction of girls hostel in Govt. ME school at Baliso	100:00	1	10.00	10.00		10.00

6	Construction of girls hostel in Govt.	100:00	1	5.00	5.00	 5.00
	Secondary school at Lumdung					
	Total		6	40.0	40.0	40.0

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(iii) Construction of aganwadi centres: Only 2.4% children are fully vaccinated and 5% of institutional delivery in the district, which are far below the national average of 43.5% and 38.7% respectively. The proposal is for construction of 67 aganwadi centres at a total cost of Rs.148.00 lakh at varying costs. The State Secretary informed that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and the variations in the cost was on account of the type of structure, material used and the distance of the location. The State Secretary stated that these were functioning centres and assured the availability of land and staff. It was assured that maintenance will be carried out by the State Government. The district representative assured that the assets will be constructed in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list of villages will be provided. The State Govt. would provide a no duplication certificate that funds for construction of these AWCs have not been obtained from Ministry of Women and Child Development or from any other sources of funding like funds from MHA (BADP), M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 67 AWCs at a total cost of Rs.148.00 lakh at varying costs as given below, subject to the following conditions: that the centres would be constructed as per the design, specification and cost norms of the M/o Women and Child Development; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; and no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of these AWCs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o WCD, MHA (BADP), M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc; and letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Central	State	Total
No.	1,02.1.0	Units	cost	Share	Share	cost
				(La	kh Rs.)	
i	Construction of aganwadi centres	39	1.50	58.50		58.50
ii	Construction of aganwadi centres	2	2.00	4.00		4.00
iii	Construction of aganwadi centres	10	2.50	25.00		25.00
iv	Construction of aganwadi centres	1	6.00	6.00		6.00
v	Construction of aganwadi centres	2	1.75	3.50		3.50
vi	Construction of aganwadi centres	2	5.00	10.00		10.00
vii	Construction of aganwadi centres	5	1.60	8.00		8.00
viii	Construction of aganwadi centres	2	4.00	8.00		8.00
ix	Construction of aganwadi centres	1	10.00	10.00		10.00
X	Construction of aganwadi centres	1	8.00	8.00		8.00
xi	Construction of aganwadi centres	2	3.50	7.00		7.00
	Total	67		148.00		148.00

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

centres and PHSCs: Only 5.0% deliveries were institutional against the national average of 38.70%. The proposal was for construction of 2 primary health centres, 2 sub-centres and 1 PHSC for a total cost of Rs.42.00 lakh at varying costs. The State Secretary informed that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and the variations in the cost was on account of the type of structure, material used and the distance of the location. The State Secretary stated that these were functioning centres. Land and staff were stated to be available and recurring expenditure will be provided by the State Government. The representative from the district assured that construction of various health centres will be taken up in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list of villages will be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State Secretary that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 15% State Share is received or alternatively MP/MLA LAD funds are made available.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of one medical sub-centres building, construction of two room sub centres building, construction of one each Semi Permanent Type (SPT) buildings for PHC and PHSC and one SPT additional room in PHC for a total cost of Rs.42.00 lakh at varying costs as given below, subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the State NRHM Mission Director of the need and adherence to the design, specification and cost norms of NRHM and elimination of duplication; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; providing a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of these centres from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o Health & Family Welfare, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.35.70 lakh and Rs.6.30 lakh as State share in the ratio of 85:15 between Centre and State as per NRHM funding pattern. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Central Share	State Share	Total		
No.		units	cost	(85%)	(15%)	cost		
			(Lakh Rs.)					
1	Construction of Medical sub-centre building	1	25.00	21.25	3.75	25.00		
2	Construction of two room sub- Centre building	1	5.50	4.68	0.82	5.50		
3	Construction of Semi Permanent Type (SPT) building for PHC	1	4.00	3.40	0.60	4.00		
4	Construction of SPT building for PHSC	1	5.00	4.25	0.75	5.00		
5	Construction of SPT additional room for PHC	1	2.50	2.12	0.38	2.50		
	Total	5		35.70	6.30	42.00		

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

# (v) <u>Construction of IAY housing:</u>

(i) Availability of pucca houses has been ranked as 1<sup>st</sup> priority in the baseline survey of the district. The total number of BPL waitlist is 3000 as informed by the State Govt. They have proposed 393 IAY houses @ Rs. 0.30 lakh for total cost of Rs.117.90 lakh. However, the Ministry of Rural Development has revised the unit cost to Rs.0.485 lakh for difficult areas, which includes the north eastern States, for construction of IAY houses w.e.f. the financial year 2010-11. The State Secretary stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by him that the central funds may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State share is received or alternatively funds from MP/MLA LAD are made available. As provided in the guidelines for IAY, efforts will be made by the State Government to bring about convergence for sanitary toilets under Total Sanitation Campaign and provide electricity under RGGVY to the IAY houses constructed under MsDP.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 393 IAY houses @ Rs.0.485 lakh per unit for a total cost of Rs.190.60 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.171.54 lakh and Rs.19.06 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 90:10 for north eastern States. The approval was subject to the following conditions: that BPL families should be selected in order of their serial number/ priority in the approved wait list for IAY houses irrespective of whether they belong to minority communities or other communities; IAY houses will be located in villages with the highest proportion of minorities; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; duplication would be eliminated by ensuring that the BPL beneficiaries given IAY houses under MsDP are taken into account and reported to the M/o Rural Development so that funds are not provided under the scheme of Ministry of Rural Development; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; there will be no separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST); list of beneficiaries along with the percentage of minority population of the village would be provided to the Ministry; conditions in para 9 above would also be applicable. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Central	State Share	Total		
N.		no. of	cost	Share	(10%)	cost		
		units		(90%)				
			(Lakh Rs.)					
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	393	0.485	171.54	19.06	190.60		

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

# <u>Summary of projects of East Kameng (Arunachal Pradesh) district approved by the Empowered Committee:</u>

	Name of the project for East Kameng	Sharing ratio	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment amount to be released
					R	Rupee in la	kh	1
Adn	ninistrative Approval							
1	Construction of building for Govt. schools	90:10	30	Vary from 2 to 40 lakh per school	227.70	25.30	253.00	113.85
2	Construction of additional classrooms in Govt. schools	90:10	59	Vary from 1.5 to 20 lakh per ACRs	197.1	21.9	216.9	98.55
3	Construction of computer room in Govt. schools	90:10	4	3.00	10.80	1.20	12.00	5.40
4	Construction of toilets in schools	90:10	2	20 and 6.50 lakh per toilet	23.85	2.65	26.50	11.92
5	Construction of girls hostel at Richukrong M.E School and at Govt. residential school Passa	100:00	6	Varying costs as given above	13.00		13.00	6.50
6	Construction of aganwadi centres	100:00	67	Vary from 1.60 to 10 lakh per AWCs	148.0		148.00	74.00
7	Construction of Medical sub- centre building	85:15	1	25.00	21.25	3.75	25.00	10.62
8	Construction of two room sub- Centre building	85:15	1	5.50	4.67	0.82	5.50	2.33
9	Construction of Semi Permanent Type (SPT) building for PHC	85:15	1	4.00	3.40	0.60	4.00	1.70
10	Construction of SPT building for PHSC	85:15	1	5.00	4.25	0.75	5.00	2.12
11	Construction of SPT additional room for PHC	85:15	1	2.50	2.12	0.37	2.50	1.06
12	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	90:10	393	0.485	171.54	19.06	190.60	85.77
	Total				827.68	76.4	902	413.82

Revised district plan for balance fund available: Out of the tentative allocation of Rs.18.70 crore for the district, approval for Central assistance was given for Rs.827.68 lakh leaving a balance of Rs.1042.32 lakh. The State Secretary and the Deputy Commissioner/representative were advised to prepare a revised plan for the balance fund available for the district as per the advice at para 11 above and also send a proposal for sanction and release of funds for setting up a dedicated IT Cell in the district. It was advised that construction of medical/hospital buildings, staff quarters, renovation work, RCC boundary wall, roads and drainage were not envisaged under MsDP and hence not funded. In respect of water supply schemes and toilets, the representatives from the Department of Drinking Water Supply that sufficient fund were available under ARWSP and TSC and should be proposed to that Department. In respect of solar lanterns, it was advised that the Ministry of New & Renewable Energy covers north eastern States extensively and such requirement may be sought from that Ministry.

### <u>Item No.2.Tawang (Arunachal Pradesh):</u>

Minority population is about 77.17% of the total population of the district. Tawang is a category 'B-1' district having both socio-economic and basic amenities parameters below the national average. The fund allocated for the MsDP Plan was Rs. 15.00 crore for the 11<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan.

### A. Projects Approved:

(i) Construction of aganwadi centres: 77.50 % were fully vaccinated children, which was above the national average of 43.5%. There was 179 anganwadi centres in the district, of which 35 were without buildings. It was submitted that availability of buildings for anganwadi centres would improve the delivery of services and nutrition for women and children. The proposal was for construction of 35 aganwadi centres of Semi Permanent Type (SPT) @Rs.3.00 lakh for a total cost of Rs.105.00 lakh. The State Government assured the availability of land and staff. It was assured that maintenance will be carried out by the State Government. The district representative assured that the assets will be constructed in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list of villages will be provided. The State Govt. would provide a no duplication certificate that funds for construction of these AWCs have not been obtained from Ministry of Women and Child Development or from any other sources of funding.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 35 AWCs at a total cost of Rs.105.00 lakh, subject to the following conditions: that the centres would be constructed as per the design, specification and cost norms of the M/o Women and Child Development; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; furnishing a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of these AWCs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o WCD, MHA, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S. N.	Name of the scheme	Total no. Units	Unit cost	Central Share	State Share	Total cost	
			(Lakh Rs.)				
1	Construction of aganwadi centres	35	3.00	105.0		105.0	

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

**Construction of building for primary health centres (PHCs), health sub-centre, sub-centres and PHSCs:** Only 10.50% deliveries were institutional, which was below the national average of 38.70%. The proposal was for construction of 2 primary health centre buildings and 2 sub-centres for a total cost of Rs.150.00 lakh. The proposal has been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government. The Secretary Minority Welfare Department of the State Govt. confirmed that these were functioning centres. Land and staff were available and recurring expenditure will be provided by the State Government. List of villages along with the percentage of minority population covered by each PHCs/PHSCs would be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLA LAD funds would be explored/resorted. He submitted that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 15% State share is received. The work will be executed by State PWD.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 2 primary health centres and 2 sub-centres for a total cost of Rs.150.00 lakh subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the State NRHM Mission Director on the need, adherence to the design, specification and norms of NRHM; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; providing a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of these centres from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o Health & Family Welfare, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.127.50 lakh and Rs.22.50 lakh as State share in the ratio of 85:15 between Centre and State as per NRHM funding pattern. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Central Share	State Share	Total		
N.		units	cost	(85%)	(15%)	cost		
			(Lakh Rs.)					
i	Construction of Primary Health Centres building	2	50.00	85.00	15.00	100.00		
ii	Construction of Sub-Centres	2	25.00	42.50	7.50	50.00		
	Total			127.50	22.50	150.00		

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(iii) Construction of Additional Class Rooms (3 for Secondary School, 15 for Middle School and 40 for Primary School): Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> respectively in the baseline survey of the district. Construction of 58 additional classrooms was proposed @Rs.9.25 lakh for a total of Rs.536.50 lakh. These will be concrete brick structures for ACRs in Government schools; 3 in secondary, 15 in middle and 40 in primary schools. The proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government taking into account SSA/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA)

norms. The State Secretary added that these were functioning schools and the proposals have been approved by the Education Department and the schools are located in minority concentration areas. The representative from district stated that the assets will be constructed in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list will be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State Secretary that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State Share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 58 additional classrooms in Government schools for a total cost of Rs.536.50 lakh, subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the Mission Director of SSA/RMSA in respect of need, adherence to norms, unit cost and elimination of duplication of work under SSA/RSMA; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of the school buildings, ACRs, computer rooms and toilets sanctioned under Multisectoral Development Programme by the Ministry of Minority Affairs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o HRD, M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs, MP/MLA LAD etc; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population certifying that all these are Govt. schools; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or the commitment given by the Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly under MPLAD/MLALAD funds and letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.482.85 lakh and Rs.53.65 lakh as State share in the ratio of 90:10 between Centre and State for north eastern States. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S. N.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Sharing	Central	State	Total
		units	cost	ratio	Share	Share	cost
				(Lakh Rs.)			
	Construction of Additional Class Rooms (3 for Secondary, 15 for middle, 40 for Primary schools).	58	9.25	90:10	482.85	53.65	536.50

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

Boulda Higher Secondary School): Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> in the baseline survey of the district. The State Secretary stated that the proposal was for construction of 2 hostels for girls for a total cost of Rs.337.80 lakh. The proposals for two hostels with 100 bed capacity each have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government. The State Secretary, Social Welfare Department and the Deputy Commissioner/representative stated that the hostels would be located in existing Govt. schools and would be managed by a management committee of the school. A teacher would be assigned to be the warden and the school chowkidar would also serve as the chowkidar for the hostel. Maintenance of the hostel will be borne by the State Government. It was assured that these hostels would be located in Government schools covering areas having the highest proportion of minority population and would be used only by school students. Availability of

such facility will help the students living in villages/rural areas where secondary and senior secondary schools are not available to enable them to continue their education in a safe and protected environment at lower cost. The Secretary and the representative from the district stated that a list of villages with percentage of minority population covered by each school would be provided. It was informed that girls' hostels would be provided 100% Central funds.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 2 hostels with 100 bed capacity at Numada Higher Secondary School and Boulda Higher Secondary School) for a total cost of Rs 337.80 lakh subject to the following conditions: furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population served by two schools; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of hostels for girls from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in Annex-A and para 9 above have been fulfilled.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S. N.	Name of the scheme	Total no. units	Unit cost	Sharing ratio	Central Share	State Share	Total cost	
				(Lakh Rs.)				
i	Girls hostel (at Numada Higher S. Secondary School, and Boulda Higher S. Secondary School for 100 bed capacity each)	2	168.90	100:00	337.80	-	337.80	

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

### (v) Construction of IAY housing:

Availability of pucca houses has been ranked as 2<sup>nd</sup> priority in the baseline survey of the district. The total number of BPL waitlist is 3000 as informed by the state Govt. State Govt. has proposed construction of 700 IAY houses @ Rs. 0.485 lakh for total cost of Rs.339.50 lakh. The representative from the district stated that villages with highest proportion of minority population have been selected and a list of beneficiaries would be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State Secretary that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State share is received. As provided in the guidelines for IAY, efforts will be made by the State Government to bring about convergence for sanitary toilets under Total Sanitation Campaign and provide electricity under RGGVY to the IAY houses constructed under MsDP

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 700 IAY houses @ Rs.0.485 lakh per unit on revised cost for a total cost of Rs.339.50 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.305.55 lakh and Rs.33.95 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 90:10 for north eastern States. The approval was subject to the following conditions: that BPL families should be selected in order of their serial number/ priority in the approved wait list for IAY houses irrespective of whether they belong to minority communities or other communities; furnishing a

list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; duplication would be eliminated by ensuring that the BPL beneficiaries given IAY houses under MsDP are taken into account and reported to the M/o Rural Development so that funds are not provided under the scheme of MoRD; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds. There will be no separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST); list of beneficiaries along with the percentage of minority population of the village would be provided to the Ministry and; conditions in para 9 above would also be applicable. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Central Share	State Share	Total			
N.		of units	cost	(90%)	(10%)	cost			
			(Lakh Rs.)						
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	700	0.485	305.55	33.95	339.50			

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

Construction of computer room and Science Laboratory: Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as  $3^{rd}$  and  $6^{th}$  respectively in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for construction of 10 computer rooms for a total cost of Rs.202.20 lakh and 12 Science Laboratories for a total cost of Rs.191.76 lakh. It was submitted that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government taking into account SSA/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) norms and the variations in the cost was on account of the type of structure, material used and the distance of the location. The State Secretary added that these were functioning Govt. schools and the proposals have been approved by the Education Department and the schools are located in minority concentration areas. A list of villages with percentage of minority population covered by each school would be provided by the State government. The representative from district stated that the assets will be constructed in villages with the highest proportion of minority population and a list will be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State officials that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State share is received. Taking into account the approvals made so far, it was stated that the funds available would be sufficient for taking up 6 computer rooms and 10 labs.

The Empowered Committee considered the proposal and accorded approval for construction of for construction of 6 computer rooms for a total cost of Rs.121.32 lakh and for construction of 10 Science Laboratories for a total cost of Rs.159.80 lakh subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the Mission Director of SSA/RMSA in respect of need, adherence to norms, unit cost and elimination of duplication of work under SSA/RSMA; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of the school buildings, ACRs, computer rooms and toilets sanctioned under Multisectoral Development Programme by the Ministry of Minority Affairs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o HRD, M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs, MP/MLA LAD etc; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or the commitment given by the Member

of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly under MPLAD/MLALAD funds and letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.109.188 lakh central share and Rs.12.132 lakh as State share for computer rooms and Rs.143.82 lakh Central share and Rs.15.98 lakh as State share for science labs in the ratio of 90:10 between Centre and State for north eastern States. The conditions mentioned at para 9 above would also be applicable. Subject to the above the following proposal was approved by the Empowered Committee:

S.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Central Share (90%)	State Share (10%)	Total			
N.		units	cost			cost			
			(Lakh Rs.)						
1	Computer rooms	6	20.22	109.188	12.132	121.32			
2	Science laboratories	10	15.98	143.82	15.98	159.80			
	Total			253.008	28.112	281.12			

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

# <u>Summary of projects of Tawang (Arunachal Pradesh) district approved by the Empowered</u> Committee:

	Name of the project for	Sharing	No.	Unit	Central	State	Total	1 <sup>st</sup>
		ratio	of .	cost	share	share	cost	instalment
			units					amount to be released
						 Rupee in la	kh	be released
Adı	ministrative Approval					Kupee iii iai		
1	Construction of Additional Class Rooms (3 for Secondary, 15 for middle, 40 for Primary)	90:10	58	9.25	482.85	53.65	536.50	241.43
2	Girls hostel (For Numada Higher S. Secondary School, and Boulda Higher S. Secondary School 100 bedded each)	100:00	2	168.90	337.80		337.80	168.90
3	Construction of aganwadi centres	100:00	35	3.00	105.00		105.00	52.50
4	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	90:10	700	0.485	305.55	33.95	339.50	152.77
5	Computer rooms	90:10	6	20.22	109.18	12.13	121.32	54.59
6	Science laboratories	90:10	10	15.98	143.82	15.98	159.80	71.91
	Total				1484.20	115.71	1599.92	742.10
		<del> </del>			1 77	I	D 15.70	<u> </u>

**Revised district plan for balance fund available:-** Keeping aside Rs.15.79 lakh as administrative cost for 2010-11 and 2011-12, and with the approvals given by the Empowered Committee meeting, the district plan for Tawang has been approved **in full.** 

### **Item No. 3 Changlang District:**

Minority population is about 52.53% of the total population of the district. Changlang is a category 'A' district having both socio-economic and basic amenities parameters below the national average. The fund allocated for the MsDP Plan was Rs. 18.70 crore for the 11<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan.

# A. Projects Approved:

(i) <u>Construction of IAY housing</u>: Availability of pucca houses has been ranked as 1<sup>st</sup> priority in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for construction of 250 IAY houses @Rs.0.385 lakh for total cost of Rs. 96.25 lakh. The Ministry of Rural Development has revised the unit cost for construction of IAY houses to Rs.0.485 lakh for difficult areas which covers north eastern States and this is applicable w.e.f. the financial year 2010-11. The representative from the district stated that villages with the highest percentage of minority population have been selected and a list of villages and beneficiaries would be provided. It was submitted by the State officials that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State share or through MP/MLA LAD funds is received. As provided in the guidelines for IAY, efforts will be made by the State Government to bring about convergence for sanitary toilets under Total Sanitation Campaign and provide electricity under RGGVY to the IAY houses constructed under MsDP.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 250 IAY houses @ Rs.0.485 lakh per unit on revised cost w.e.f. 2010-11 for a total cost of Rs.121.25 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.109.125 lakh and Rs.12.125 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 90:10 for north eastern States. The approval was subject to the following conditions: that BPL families should be selected in order of their serial number/ priority in the approved wait list for IAY houses irrespective of whether they belong to minority communities or other communities; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; duplication would be eliminated by ensuring that the BPL beneficiaries given IAY houses under MsDP are taken into account and reported to the M/o Rural Development (MoRD) so that funds are not provided under the scheme of MoRD for the same beneficiaries; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLA LAD funds; there will be no separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST); list of beneficiaries along with the percentage of minority population of the village would be provided to the Ministry of Minority Affairs. Conditions in para 9 above would also be applicable. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

	1 1 /							
S.	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Central	State Share	Total		
N.		no. of	cost	Share	(10%)	cost		
		units		(90%)				
			(Lakh Rs.)					
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	250	0.485	109.125	12.125	121.25		

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

Construction of Secondary School buildings at Diyun and Manmao: Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> respectively in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for construction of 4 Govt. school buildings @Rs.50 lakh for a total cost of Rs.200 lakh. However, it was agreed that the proposal could be considered for Diyun Secondary school having 34% minority population and at Manmao Secondary school having 17% minority population. For the other two schools, it was advised to furnish more details for consideration. The construction would be concrete brick buildings from classes 1 to X to replace bamboo structure with Semi Permanent Type (SPT) building. The State Secretary informed that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government taking into account SSA/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) norms including cost norms. The State Secretary added that these were functioning schools and the proposals have been approved by the Education Department and the schools are located in minority concentration areas. The representative from district stated that the assets will be constructed in villages with the highest proportion of minority population and a list will be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State officials that funds may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State Share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 2 Government secondary school buildings for a total cost of Rs.100 lakh, subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the Mission Director of SSA/RMSA in respect of need, adherence to norms, unit cost and elimination of duplication of work under SSA/RSMA; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of the school buildings sanctioned under Multi-sectoral Development Programme by the Ministry of Minority Affairs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o HRD, M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs, MP/MLA LAD etc; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population covered by each Govt.school; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or the commitment given by the Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly under MPLAD/MLALAD funds and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in **Annex-B and para 9** above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.90 lakh and Rs.10 lakh as State share in the ratio of 90:10 between Centre and State for north eastern States. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S. N.	Name of the scheme	Sharing	Total	Unit cost	Central	State	Total		
		ratio	no.		Share	Share	cost		
			units		(90%)	(10%)			
				(Lakh Rs.)					
1.	Construction of Secondary schools building at Diyun	90:10	1	50.00	45.00	5.00	50.00		
2	Construction of Secondary schools building at Manmao	90:10	1	50.00	45.00	5.00	50.00		
	Total				90.00	10.00	100.00		

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

# <u>Summary of projects of Changlang (Arunachal Pradesh) district approved by the Empowered Committee:</u>

	Name of the project for Changlang district (Arunachal	Sharing ratio	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
	Pradesh)	Tatio	unts		Share	Silare	Cost	amount to
					  R	Lupee in la	  kh	be released
Adn	ninistrative Approval					<b>P</b>		
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	90:10	250	0.485	109.13	12.12	121.25	54.56
2	Construction of Secondary schools building at Diyun	90:10	1	50.00	45.00	5.00	50.00	22.50
3	Construction of Secondary schools building at Manmao	90:10	1	50.00	45.00	5.00	50.00	22.50
	Total				199.13	22.12	212.25	99.56

Revised district plan for balance fund available: Out of the tentative allocation of Rs.18.70 crore for the district, the Empowered Committee approved projects amounting to Rs.199.13 lakh as a Central share leaving a balance of Rs. 1670.87 lakh. The State Secretary and district officials were advised by the Committee to work out a revised plan for the fund available in accordance with the guidelines of the MsDP and the advice at para 11 above and also send a proposal for sanction and release of funds for setting up a dedicated IT Cell in the district. It was advised that proposals like sports facilities, community halls, hearse vehicles, generator, incinerator, mortuary etc. were not envisaged under MsDP and hence not funded. In respect of maternity hospitals, it was advised that these should be proposed to the line Ministry/Department of the Central Government in view of the technicalities involved. The UGC has a scheme for providing financial assistance to degree college and UGC may be approached for this. The representative from the Department of Drinking Water Supply stated that it had sufficient resources to fund the needs of the district for water supply schemes and proposal should be sent to that department.

# **Item No. 4 West Kameng District:**

Minority population is about 49.80% of the total population of the district. West Kameng is a category 'B-1' district having socio-economic parameters below the national average coming under urban area. The fund allocated for the MsDP Plan was Rs. 15.00 crore for the 11<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan.

#### A. Projects Approved:

construction of IAY housing: Availability of pucca houses has been ranked as 1<sup>st</sup> priority in the baseline survey of the district. The total number of BPL waitlist is 5232 as informed by the representative from the district. State Government has proposed 300 units of IAY houses @ Rs.0.385 lakh for total cost of Rs.115.00 lakh. The Ministry of Rural Development has revised the unit cost for construction of IAY houses to Rs.0.485 lakh for difficult areas, which covers the north eastern States, and this is applicable w.e.f. the financial year 2010-11. The representative from the district stated that villages with the highest percentage of minority population have been selected and list of villages and beneficiaries would be provided. It was submitted by the State officials that funds may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State share or funding from MP/MLA

LAD funds is received. As provided in the guidelines for IAY, efforts will be made by the State Government to bring about convergence for sanitary toilets under Total Sanitation Campaign and provide electricity under RGGVY to the IAY houses constructed under MsDP.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 300 IAY houses @ Rs.0.485 lakh per unit on revised cost for a total cost of Rs.145.50 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.130.95 lakh and Rs.14.55 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 90:10 for north eastern States. The approval was subject to the following conditions: that BPL families should be selected in order of their serial number/ priority in the approved wait list for IAY houses irrespective of whether they belong to minority communities or other communities; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; duplication would be eliminated by ensuring that the BPL beneficiaries given IAY houses under MsDP are taken into account and reported to the M/o Rural Development so that funds are not provided under the scheme of MoRD for the same beneficiaries; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; there will be no separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST); list of beneficiaries along with the percentage of minority population of the village would be provided to the Ministry of Minority Affairs and; conditions in para 9 above would also be applicable. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total no. of	Unit Central		State Share	Total		
No.		units	cost	Share (90%)	(10%)	cost		
			(Lakh Rs.)					
				(Lak	h Rs.)			

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(ii) <u>Construction of Additional Class Rooms in Govt. Primary/Middle/Secondary/Hr.</u> <u>Secondary Schools:</u> Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as 3<sup>th</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> respectively in the baseline survey of the district. State Government has proposed for construction of ACRs in Govt. schools at varying rates (i) 49 units of ACRs in Govt. Primary schools in Dirang, Thrizino, kalaktang, Nafra and Singchung blocks at unit cost of Rs.6.07 lakh (ii) 11 units of ACRs in Govt. middle schools in Dirang, Thizino and Kalaktang blocks at a unit cost of Rs.6.07 lakh, (iii) 6 unit of ACRs in Govt. Secondary schools at Thrizino block at a unit cost of Rs.6.07 lakh, (iv) 4 units of ACRs in Govt. Hr. Secondary schools at Kalaktang block at a unit cost of Rs.6.07 lakh, (v) 2 units of ACRs in Govt. Hr. secondary at Rupa at a unit cost of Rs.4.70 lakh, for the total cost of Rs.434.30 lakh. The State Secretary informed that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government taking into account SSA/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) norms, including cost norms, and the variations in the cost of similar structure was on account of the type of structure, material used and the distance of the location. The State Secretary added that these were functioning schools and the proposals have been approved by the Education Department and the schools are located in minority concentration areas. The representative from district stated that the assets will be constructed in villages with the highest proportion of minority population and a list will be

provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State officials that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of (i) 49 units of ACRs in Govt. Primary schools at Blocks -Dirang, Thrizino, kalaktang, Nafra and Singchung at unit cost of Rs.6.07 lakh (ii) 11 units of ACRs in Govt. Middle schools at Blocks – Dirang, Thizino and Kalaktang at a unit cost of Rs.6.07 lakh, (iii) 6 unit of ACRs in Govt. Secondary schools at Thrizino Block at a unit cost of Rs.6.07 lakh, (iv) 4 units of ACRs in Govt. Hr. Secondary schools at Kalaktang Block at a unit cost of Rs.6.07 lakh, (v) 2 units of ACRs in Govt. Hr. secondary at Rupa at a unit cost of Rs.4.70 lakh, for the total cost of Rs.434.30 lakh, subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the Mission Director of SSA/RMSA in respect of need, adherence to norms, unit cost and elimination of duplication of work under SSA/RSMA; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of the ACRs sanctioned under Multi-sectoral Development Programme by the Ministry of Minority Affairs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o HRD, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or the commitment given by the Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly under MPLAD/MLALAD funds and letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.390.87 lakh and Rs.43.43 lakh as State share in the ratio of 90:10 between Centre and State for north eastern States. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Central Share	State Share	Total
N.		no.	cost	(90%)	(10%)	cost
		units				
				(Lak	h Rs.)	
i	Construction of ACRs in govt.	49	6.07	267.69	29.74	297.43
	Primary schools at Blocks- Dirang,					
	Thrizino, Kalaktang, Nafra and					
	Singchung					
ii	Construction of ACRs in govt.	11	6.07	60.09	6.68	66.77
	Middle schools at Blocks - Dirang,					
	Thrizino and Kalaktang					
iii	Construction of ACRs in govt.	6	6.07	32.78	3.64	36.42
	Secondary schools at Thrizino					
	Block					
iv	Construction of ACRs in govt. Hr.	4	6.07	21.85	2.43	24.28
	Secondary schools at Kalaktang					
	Block					
v	Construction of ACRs in govt. Hr.	2	4.70	8.46	0.94	9.40
	Secondary schools at Rupa					
	Total	72		390.87	43.43	434.3

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(iii) Construction of Primary Health Centre and Health Sub Centre: Only 25.10% deliveries are institutional which was lower than the national average of 38.70%. The proposal was for construction of 1 primary health centres and 13 health sub-centres for a total cost of Rs.194.16 lakh. The State Secretary informed that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government. The State Secretary stated that these were functioning Govt. centres. Land and staff were stated to be available and recurring expenditure will be provided by the State Government. The representative from the district assured that construction of various Govt. health centres will be taken up in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list of villages will be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State officials that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 15% State share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 1 primary health centres and 13 health sub-centres for a total cost of Rs.194.16 lakh, subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the State NRHM Mission Director of the need and adherence to the design, specification and cost norms of NRHM; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; providing a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of these centres from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o Health & Family Welfare, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs. 165.04 lakh and Rs. 29.12 lakh as State share in the ratio of 85:15 between Centre and State as per NRHM funding pattern. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S. N.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Central Share	State Share	Total	
		units	cost	(85%)	(85%) (15%)		
			(Lakh Rs.)				
i	Construction of Primary Health Centre	1	60.00	51.00	9.00	60.00	
ii	Construction of Health sub- centres	13	10.32	114.04	20.12	134.16	
	Total			165.04	29.12	194.16	

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(iv) <u>Construction of Anganwadi building:</u> There are 84.65% fully vaccinated children which is above the national average of 43.5%. The State Govt. has proposed for construction of 25 aganwadi centres at a unit cost of Rs.5.18 lakh at a total cost of Rs.129.50 lakh. It was submitted that availability of buildings for AWCs would improve the delivery of services and nutrition for women and children. It was informed by Ministry of Minority Affairs that the Ministry has been approving @Rs.3.00 lakh per AWC and these should be constructed within this unit cost. The representative from the district stated that the proposal has been made as per

the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and agreed to construct AWCs @Rs.3.00 lakh per unit. The State Secretary stated that these were functioning centres and assured the availability of land and staff. It was assured that maintenance of AWCs will be carried out by the State Government. The district representative assured that the assets will be constructed in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list of villages will be provided. The State Govt. would provide a no duplication certificate that funds for construction of these AWCs have not been obtained from Ministry of Women and Child Development or from any other sources of funding.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 25 AWCs @Rs.3.00 lakh per unit for a total cost of Rs.75.00 lakh, subject to the conditions that the centres would be constructed as per the design, specification and cost norms of the M/o Women and Child Development; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; and no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of these AWCs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o WCD, MHA, M/o DoNER, NEC,MP/MLA LAD etc; and letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S. N.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Central	State	Total	
		Units	cost	Share	Share	cost	
			(Lakh Rs.)				
1	Construction of anganwadi centres in five blocks	25	3.00	75.00	-	75.00	

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

#### (v) <u>Construction of Girls' Hostel</u>:

- (i) Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. Hr. Secondary School at Rupa, Thrizino and Nafra,
- (ii) Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. VKV school, Shergaon,
- (iii) Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. Middle school at Garbow and
- (iv) Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Kalaktang

Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as 5<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for construction of 6 hostels; 3 for girls and 3 for boys at varying costs for a total cost of Rs.425.44 lakh. The State Secretary, Social Welfare Department and the Deputy Commissioner/representative stated that the hostels would be located in existing schools and would be managed by a management committee of the school. A teacher would be assigned to be the warden and the school chowkidar would also serve as the chowkidar for the hostel. Maintenance of the hostel will be borne by the Government. It was assured that these hostels would be located in Government schools covering areas having the highest proportion of minority population and would be used only by school students. Availability of such facility will help the students living in villages/rural areas where secondary and senior secondary schools are not available to enable them to continue their education in a safe and protected environment at lower cost. The State Secretary and the representative from the

district stated that a list of villages with percentage of minority population covered by each school would be provided. It was informed that girls' hostels would be provided 100% Central funds while boy hostels would be eligible for funding in the ratio of 50:50 between Centre and State. Since State share commitment has not been given yet by the State Government, it was suggested by the officials from the State Government that all the hostels would be for girls and it would be ensured that these were used only by girl students.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 6 hostels for girls for a total cost of Rs. 425.44 lakh at varying costs as given below, subject to the following conditions: furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of hostels for girls from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in **Annex-A and para 9** above have been fulfilled. The Empowered

Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Sharing	Central	State	Total
N.		no.	cost	ratio	Share	Share	cost
		units					
					(Lak	th Rs.)	
i	Construction of girls hostel at Govt. Hr. Secondary School at Rupa, Thriziono and Nafra (50 boarders)	3	81.48	100:00	244.44	-	244.44
ii	Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. VKV school, Shergaon (50 boarders)	1	82.20	100:00	82.20	-	82.20
iii	Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. Middle school at Garbow (30 boarders)	1	31.00	100:00	31.00	-	31.00
iv	Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Kalaktang (50 boarders)	1	67.80	100:00	67.80	-	67.80
	Total				334.94	90.5	425.44

# B. <u>Proposal which would be referred to line Ministry/Department concerned for appraisal:</u>

- (i) <u>Safe drinking Water Supply:</u> Basic amenities indicator i.e. availability of safe drinking water (96.22%) was above the national average of 87.90%. Safe drinking water supply was identified as the 5<sup>th</sup> priority by the baseline survey. State Govt. has proposed above mentioned water supply scheme at a total cost of Rs.284.32 lakh at different unit cost as mentioned below:-
  - (a) Provision of water supply at newly constructed at Rama Krishna Sarda Mission Girls' school at Khasso under Dirang circle for one unit at a unit cost of Rs.125.54 lakh
  - (b) Water supply at 5 mile and 6 mile for one unit at a unit cost of Rs.40 lakh
  - (c) Water supply at Beolang (H/O Dibrick) for one unit at a unit cost of Rs.53.78 lakh
  - (d) Installation of 20 nos. hand pump at various locations at Bhalukpong for 20 unit at a unit cost of Rs.1.00 lakh for the total cost of Rs.20.00 lakh.

(e) Water supply at Lish village under Dirang circle for one unit at a unit cost of Rs.45.00 lakh

The representative from the Department of Drinking Water Supply stated that it had sufficient resources to fund the needs of the district for water supply schemes and proposal should be sent to that department. However, the Empowered Committee agreed to refer the above proposals for appraisal of the line Ministry/Department concerned and would be considered only when the matter is cleared by the Ministry/Department concerned.

- (ii) <u>Skill upgradation and vocational training</u>: Total work participation and female work participation has been ranked as 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>rd</sup> respectively in the baseline survey of the district. The proposal was for:-
  - (a) Construction of dyeing unit (Manual) at Dirang,
  - (b) Construction of weaving centre building with looms and accessories at Thrizino and Nafra,
  - (c) Skill upgradation training programme in weaving for 5 nos. one each at Dirang, Thembang, Kalaktang, Nafra and Thrizino block, and
  - (d) Vocational training on computer (6 months training) at ITI Dirang for 70 trainees.

The total cost of the proposal was for Rs.99.15 lakh. The representative from the district stated that maximum number of artisans belong to minority community. It was stated that the proposal could fall under the existing CSS scheme of the Ministry of Textiles (Development Commissioner for Handicrafts).

The Empowered Committee agreed to refer the above proposals for appraisal of the line Ministry/Department concerned and would be considered only when the matter is cleared by the Ministry/Department concerned. No fund would be set aside for the above proposals and it was advised that this may be considered after the appraisal and clearance was received.

# C. <u>Summary of projects of West Kameng (Arunachal Pradesh) district approved by</u> the Empowered Committee:

	Name of the project for West Kameng (Arunachal Pradesh)	Sharing ratio	No. of units	Unit	Central share	State share	Total cost	instalme nt amount to be released
					R	upee in l	akh	
Adn	ninistrative Approval							
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	90:10	300	0.485	130.95	14.55	145.50	65.475
2	Construction of ACRs in govt. Primary schools at Blocks- Dirang, Thrizino, Kalaktang, Nafra and Singchung	90:10	49	6.07	267.69	29.74	297.43	133.845
3	Construction of ACRs in govt. Middle schools at Blocks - Dirang, Thrizino and Kalaktang	90:10	11	6.07	60.09	6.68	66.77	30.045
4	Construction of ACRs in govt. Secondary schools at Thrizino	90:10	6	6.07	32.78	3.64	36.42	16.39

	Block							
5	Construction of ACRs in govt. Hr. Secondary schools at Kalaktang Block	100:00	4	6.07	21.85	2.43	24.28	10.925
6	Construction of ACRs in govt. Hr. Secondary schools at Rupa	50:50	2	4.70	8.46	0.94	9.40	4.23
7	Construction of Primary Health Centre	85:15	1	60.00	51.00	9.00	60.00	25.5
8	Construction of Health sub-centres	85:15	13	10.32	114.04	20.12	134.16	57.02
9	Construction anganwadi centres in five blocks	100:00	25	3.00	75.00	-	75.00	37.5
10	Construction of girls hostel at Govt. Hr. Secondary School at Rupa, Thriziono and Nafra (50 boarders)	100:00	3	81.48	244.44	-	244.44	122.22
11	Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. VKV school, Shergaon (50 boarders)	100:00	1	82.20	82.20	-	82.20	41.10
12	Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. Middle school at Garbow (30 boarders)	100:00	1	31.00	31.00	-	31.00	15.50
13	Construction of Girls' hostel at Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Kalaktang (50 boarders)	100:00	1	67.80	67.80	-	67.80	33.90
	Grand Total		_		1187.30	87.10	1274.40	593.65

**D.** Revised district plan for balance fund available: Out of the tentative allocation of Rs.15.00 crore for the district, the Empowered Committee approved projects amounting to Rs.1187.30 lakh as a Central share leaving a balance of Rs.312.70 lakh. The State Secretary and district officials were advised by the Committee to work out a revised plan for the fund available in accordance with the guidelines of the MsDP and the advice at para 11 above and also send a proposal for sanction and release of funds for setting up a dedicated IT Cell in the district. It was advised that proposals like electrification of a school, construction of a compound wall, procurement of medical equipments, dental equipments, ambulance sports facilities, V-SAT etc. were not envisaged under MsDP and hence not funded. In respect of the proposals relating to M/o Textiles and Department of Drinking Water Supply, the Empowered Committee agreed to refer the above proposals for appraisal of the line Ministry/Department concerned and would be considered only when the matter is cleared by the Ministry/Department concerned.

#### Item No. 5 Lower Subansiri:

Lower Subansiri is category 'A' district having socio-economic basic and amenities parameters below the national average. The minority population of the district is around 25.70% of the total population. The fund allocated for the MsDP Plan was Rs.17.80 crore for the 11<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan. This is a district where BRGF programme of the Ministry of Panchayati raj is implemented.

#### A. **Projects Approved:**

**Construction of IAY housing:** Availability of pucca houses has been ranked as 1st priority in the baseline survey of the district. The allocation of IAY houses for the district was 782 in 2008-09 and 740 in 2009-10. The total number of BPL waitlist is 4622 as informed by the representative from the district. State Government has proposed 1139 units of IAY houses @ Rs.0.385 lakh for total cost of Rs.115.00 lakh. The Ministry of Rural Development has revised the unit cost for construction of IAY houses to Rs.0.485 lakh for difficult areas which covers the north eastern States and this is applicable w.e.f. the financial year 2010-11. The representative from the district stated that villages with the highest percentage of minority population have been selected and list of villages and beneficiaries would be provided. It was submitted by the State officials that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government to bear the 10% State share or funds under MP/MLA LAD funds is received. As provided in the guidelines for IAY, efforts will be made by the State Government to bring about convergence for sanitary toilets under Total Sanitation Campaign and provide electricity under RGGVY to the IAY houses constructed under MsDP

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 1139 IAY houses @ Rs.0.485 lakh per unit on revised cost for a total cost of Rs.552.415 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.497.1735 lakh and Rs.55.2415 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 90:10 for north eastern States. The approval was subject to the following conditions: that BPL families should be selected in order of their serial number/ priority in the approved wait list for IAY houses irrespective of whether they belong to minority communities or other communities; villages with the highest proportion of minority population will be selected; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; duplication would be eliminated by ensuring that the BPL beneficiaries given IAY houses under MsDP are taken into account and reported to the M/o Rural Development so that funds are not provided under the scheme of MoRD for the same beneficiaries; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; there will be no separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST); list of beneficiaries along with the percentage of minority population of the village would be provided to the Ministry; Conditions in para 9 above would also be applicable. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total no. of	Unit cost	Central Share	State Share	Total			
No.		units		(90%)	(10%)	cost			
			(Lakh Rs.)						
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	1139	0485	497.1735	55.2415	552.415			

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(ii) <u>Construction of doubled storied classroom buildings for Govt. H.S. School and Govt. M.E. schools at Hija, Ziro, Hong and Tajang</u>: Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> respectively in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for an amount of Rs.318.70 lakh for construction of concrete brick doubled storied buildings in two Govt. H.S. School at Hija and Ziro, and two Govt. middle

schools at Hong and Tajang. The two Govt. H.S. Schools will have 16 classrooms each for classes IX to XII. State Secretary informed that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government taking into account SSA/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) norms. The State Secretary added that these were functioning schools and the proposals have been approved by the Education Department and the schools are located in minority concentration areas. The representative from district stated that the assets will be constructed in villages with the highest proportion of minority population and a list will be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State share from MPLAD and MLA LAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State officials that funds may be released only after the commitment by the State Government or through MP/MLA LAD funds to bear the 10% State share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of four Govt. school buildings for a total cost of Rs.318.70 lakh, subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the Mission Director of SSA/RMSA in respect of need, adherence to norms, unit cost and elimination of duplication of work under SSA/RSMA; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of the school buildings sanctioned under Multi-sectoral Development Programme by the Ministry of Minority Affairs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o HRD, M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs, MP/MLA LAD etc; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population covered by each school; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or the commitment given by the Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly under MPLAD/MLALAD funds and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in **Annex-B and para 9** above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.286.83 lakh and Rs.31.86 lakh as State share in the ratio of 90:10 between Centre and State for north eastern States. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Central	State	Total
No		units	cost	Share	Share	cost
				(90%)	(10%)	
				(Lakh)	Rs.)	
i	Doubled storied buildings for Govt. H. S.	2	99.521	179.14	19.90	199.04
	School at Hija and Ziro.					
Ii	Doubled stored building for Govt. Middle	2	59.832	107.69	11.96	119.66
	School at Hong and Tajang.					
·	Total			286.83	31.86	318.7

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(iii) <u>Construction of health sub-centres (HSCs):</u> Only 5.53% deliveries are institutional against the national average of 38.70%. The proposal is for construction of 6 health sub-centres @Rs.21.46.00 lakh for a total cost of Rs.128.76 lakh with ANM attached quarter as permissible under NRHM. The State Secretary informed that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government. The State Secretary stated that these were

functioning centres. Land and staff were stated to be available and recurring expenditure will be provided by the State Government. The representative from the district assured that construction of various health centres will be taken up in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list of villages will be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State officials that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government or through MP/MLA LAD funds to bear the 15% State Share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 6 health sub-centres for a total cost of Rs.128.76 lakh, subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the State NRHM Mission Director of the need and adherence to the design, specification and cost norms of NRHM; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; providing a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of these centres from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o Health & Family Welfare, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.109.446 lakh and Rs.19.314 lakh as State share in the ratio of 85:15 between Centre and State as per NRHM funding pattern. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Central	State	Total
N.		no.	cost	Share	Share	cost
		units		(85%)	(15%)	
			(Lakh Rs.)			
a	Construction of Health Sub-Centres building	6	21.46	109.45	19.31	128.76

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(iv) Construction of additional classrooms in Pania Primary school, Seiya Primary school, Lich Lith Primary school, Tepi Primary school & Talo Secondary school: Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> respectively in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for an amount of Rs.27.55 lakh for construction of ACRs in 5 high schools @ Rs.5.51 lakh per unit. The State Secretary informed that the proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government taking into account SSA/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) norms including cost norms. The State Secretary added that these were functioning Govt. schools and the proposals have been approved by the Education Department and the schools are located in minority concentration areas. The representative from district stated that the assets will be constructed in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list will be provided. He stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by

the State officials that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government or through MP/MLA LAD funds to bear the 10% State share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 5 additional classrooms in Government schools for a total cost of Rs.27.55 lakh, subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the Mission Director of SSA/RMSA in respect of need, adherence to norms, unit cost and elimination of duplication of work under SSA/RSMA; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of the school buildings, ACRs, computer rooms and toilets sanctioned under Multisectoral Development Programme by the Ministry of Minority Affairs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o HRD, M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs, MP/MLA LAD etc; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or the commitment given by the Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly under MPLAD/MLALAD funds and letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.24.80 lakh and Rs.2.75 lakh as State share in the ratio of 90:10 between Centre and State for north eastern States. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Central	State	Total
N.		no.	cost	Share	Share	cost
		units		(90%)	(10%)	
			(Lakh Rs.)			
a	Additional classrooms in Zero II CD Block	5	5.51	24.80	2.75	27.55

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

# Construction of hostel building for 20 boarders in Zero II CD Block Status: **(v)** Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for construction of 2 units hostels for 20 boarders each; 1 for girls and 1 for boys @ Rs.22.18.00 lakh for a total cost of Rs.44.36 lakh. The State Secretary, Social Welfare Department and the Deputy Commissioner/representative stated that these hostels would be located in existing Government schools and would be managed by a management committee of the school. A teacher would be assigned to be the warden and the school chowkidar would also serve as the chowkidar for the hostel. Maintenance of the hostel will be borne by the Government. It was assured that these hostels would be located in Government schools covering areas having the highest proportion of minority population and would be used only by school students. Availability of such facility will help the students living in villages/rural areas where secondary and senior secondary schools are not available to enable them to continue their education in a safe and protected environment at lower cost. The State Secretary and the representative from the district stated that a list of villages with percentage of minority population covered by each school would be provided. It was informed that girls' hostels would be provided 100% Central funds while boy hostels would be eligible for funding in the ratio of 50:50 between Centre and State.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 2 hostels (1 for girls and 1 for boys) for a total cost of Rs.44.36 lakh as given below, subject to the following conditions: furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of hostels for girls from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in Annex-A and para 9 above have been fulfilled. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Sharing	Total no.	Unit	Central	State	Total
N.		ratio	units	cost	Share	Share	cost
					(Lakh R	(s.)	
i	Construction of hostel building for Govt.	100:00	1 girl	22.18	22.18	-	22.18
	M.E. School and Govt. Calvary English						
	School 40 boarders (one unit of 20	50:50	1 boy	22.18	11.09	11.09	22.18
	boarders for girls and one unit of 20						
	boarders for boys ) in Zero II CD Block						
	Total		2	-	33.27	11.09	44.36

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

# B. <u>Proposal which would be referred to line Ministry/Department concerned for appraisal:</u>

Common facility center and design centers for upliftment of the artisans and artist at Dollungmukh and Raga: The State Government proposed a common facility center and design centers for upliftment of the artisans and artist at Dollungnukh and Raga for an amount of Rs.182.27 lakh. The representative from the district stated that these two centers would be places where tools, equipments and raw materials would be provided to the crafts men. They also started that the estimates had been prepared by the PWD. The representative from the district stated that maximum number of artisans belong to minority community. It was stated that the proposal could fall under the existing CSS scheme of the Ministry of Textiles (Development Commissioner for Handicrafts).

The Empowered Committee agreed to refer the above proposals for appraisal of the line Ministry/Department concerned and would be considered only when the matter is cleared by the Ministry/Department concerned. No fund would be set aside for the above proposals and it was advised that this may be considered after the appraisal and clearance was received.

# <u>Summary of projects of Lower Subansiri (Arunachal Pradesh) district approved by the Empowered Committee</u>

Name of the project for Lower	Sharin	No. of	Unit	Central	State	Total cost	1 <sup>st</sup>
Subansiri(AP)	g ratio	units	cost	share	share		instalme
							nt
							amount

								to be released
					I.	Rupee in	lakh	
Adr	ninistrative Approval							
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	90:10	1139	0.485	497.17	55.24	552.41	248.58
2	Doubled storied buildings for Govt. H. S. School at Hija and Ziro.	90:10	2	99.521	179.14	19.90	199.04	89.57
3	Doubled stored building for Govt. Middle School at Hong and Tajang.	90:10	2	59.832	107.69	11.96	119.66	53.84
4	Construction of Health Sub- Centres building	85:15	6	21.46	109.45	19.31	128.76	54.72
5	Additional classrooms in Zero II CD Block	90:10	5	5.51	24.80	2.75	27.55	12.40
6	Construction of hostel building for Govt. M.E. School and	50:50	1 boys	22.18	11.09	11.09	22.18	5.54
	Govt. Calvary English School 40 boarders (one unit of 20 boarders for girls and one unit of 20 boarders for boys ) in Zero II CD Block	100:00	1 girls	22.18	22.18		22.18	11.09
	Total				951.52	120.25	1071.78	475.74

Revised district plan for balance fund available: Out of the tentative allocation of Rs.17.80 crore for the district, the Empowered Committee approved projects amounting to Rs951.52 lakh as a Central share leaving a balance of Rs.828.48 lakh. The State Secretary and district officials were advised by the Committee to work out a revised plan for the fund available in accordance with the guidelines of the MsDP and the advice at para 11 above and also send a proposal for sanction and release of funds for setting up a dedicated IT Cell in the district. It was advised that proposals like electrification of a school, construction of a boundary wall, quarters, equipments for CHC, community hall etc. were not envisaged under MsDP and hence not funded. The representative from the Department of Drinking Water Supply advised that sufficient resources were available for funding water supply schemes and all proposals may be sent to that department by the State Government. In respect of the proposals relating to M/o Textiles, the Empowered Committee agreed to refer the above proposals for appraisal of the line Ministry/Department concerned and would be considered only when the matter is cleared by the Ministry/Department concerned.

#### **Item No.6 Papum Pare (Arunachal Pradesh):**

Minority population is about 37.28% of the total population of the district. Papum Pare is a category 'B-1' district having both socio-economic parameters below the national average. The fund allocated for the MsDP Plan was Rs. 15.00 crore for the 11<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan.

### A. Projects Approved:

Construction of IAY housing: Availability of pucca houses has been ranked as 2<sup>nd</sup> (i) priority in the baseline survey of the district. As per the BPL household survey 2002, the total number of families living below the poverty line is 4804 as informed by the state Govt. State Govt. has proposed 475 IAY houses @ Rs. 0.385 lakh for total cost of Rs.190.00 lakh. The Ministry of Rural Development has revised the unit cost to Rs.0.485 lakh for north eastern States for construction of IAY houses and this is applicable w.e.f. the financial year 2010-11. The representative from the district stated that villages with the highest percentage of minority population have been selected and list of villages and beneficiaries would be provided. It was submitted by the Deputy Commissioner that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government or through MP/MLA LAD funds to bear the 10% State share is received. She further stated that work will be executed by DRDA and construction work will be completed within a period of one year. She stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. As provided in the guidelines for IAY, efforts will be made by the State Government to bring about convergence for sanitary toilets under Total Sanitation Campaign and provide electricity under RGGVY to the IAY houses constructed under MsDP.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 475 IAY houses @ Rs.0.485 lakh per unit on revised cost for a total cost of Rs.230.37 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.207.33 lakh and Rs.23.07 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 90:10 for north eastern States. The approval was subject to the condition following conditions: that BPL families should be selected in order of their serial number/ priority in the approved wait list for IAY houses irrespective of whether they belong to minority communities or other communities; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; duplication would be eliminated by ensuring that the BPL beneficiaries given IAY houses under MsDP are taken into account and reported to the M/o Rural Development so that funds are not provided under the scheme of MoRD for the same beneficiaries; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; there will be no separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST); list of beneficiaries along with the percentage of minority population of the village would be provided to the Ministry and; conditions in para 9 above would also be applicable. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Central	State Share	Total	
N.		no. of	cost	Share	(10%)	cost	
		units		(90%)			
			(Lakh Rs.)				
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	475	0.485	207.33	23.03	230.37	

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(ii) <u>Construction of aganwadi centres:</u> 71.31% are fully vaccinated child which is above the national average of 43.5%. The State Govt. has proposed for construction of 12 Semi Permanent Type (SPT) aganwadi centres @ Rs.3.00 lakh at a total cost of Rs.36.00 lakh. It was submitted that availability of buildings for AWCs would improve the delivery of services and nutrition for women and children. The district representative stated that these were functioning centres and assured the availability of land and staff. It was assured that maintenance will be carried out by the State Government. The district representative assured that the assets will be constructed in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list of villages will be provided. The State Govt. would provide a no duplication certificate that funds for construction of these AWCs have not been obtained from Ministry of Women and Child Development or from any other sources of funding.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 12 AWCs at a total cost of Rs. 36.00 lakh, subject to the following conditions: that the centres would be constructed as per the design, specification and cost norms of the M/o Women and Child Development; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; and no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of these AWCs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o WCD, MHA, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD funds etc; and letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit cost	Central	State	Total	
N.		Units		Share	Share	cost	
			(Lakh Rs.)				
1	Construction of aganwadi centres	12	3.00	36.00	1	36.00	

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(iii) Construction of labour room at Jote PHC and Taraso PHC: Only 20.39% deliveries are institutional against the national average of 38.70%. The proposal was for construction of two labour rooms @ Rs.9.00 lakh for a total cost of Rs.18.00 lakh. The State officials informed that proposals have been made as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government. The State Secretary stated that these were functioning centres. Land and staff were stated to be available and recurring expenditure will be provided by the State Government. The Deputy Commissioner assured that construction of various health centres will be taken up in villages having the highest proportion of minority population and a list of villages will be provided. She stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State Share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by the State officials that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government or through MP/MLA LAD funds to bear the 15% State share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of two (2) labour rooms @ Rs. 9.00 lakh for a total cost of Rs.18.00 lakh subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the State NRHM Mission Director of the need and

adherence to the design, specification and norms of NRHM; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLALAD funds; providing a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of these centres from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o Health & Family Welfare, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above have been fulfilled Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.15.30 lakh and Rs.2.70 lakh as State share in the ratio of 85:15 between Centre and State as per NRHM funding pattern. Conditions at para 9 above would apply. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
S.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit	Central Share	State Share	Total			
N.		units	cost	(85%)	(15%)	cost			
			(Lakh Rs.)						
1	Construction of labour room at Jote and Taraso PHCs	2	9.00	15.30	2.70	18.00			

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

(iv) <u>Construction of hostels</u>: Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as  $5^{th}$  and  $7^{th}$  in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for construction of four hostels i.e. three for girls and one for boys at varying cost for a total cost of The State Secretary, Social Welfare Department and the Deputy Rs.70.00 lakh. Commissioner/representative stated that these hostels would be located in existing Government schools and would be managed by a management committee of the school. A teacher would be assigned to be the warden and the school chowkidar would also serve as the chowkidar for the hostel. Maintenance of the hostel will be borne by the Government. It was assured that these hostels would be located in Government schools covering areas having the highest proportion of minority population and would be used only by school students. Availability of such facility will help the students living in villages/rural areas where secondary and senior secondary schools are not available to enable them to continue their education in a safe and protected environment at lower cost. The State Secretary and the representative from the district stated that a list of villages with percentage of minority population covered by each Govt. school would be provided. It was informed that girls' hostels would be provided 100% Central funds while boy hostels would be eligible for funding in the ratio of 50:50 between Centre and State. It was submitted by the Deputy Commissioner that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Govt. or through MP/MLA LAD funds to bear the 50% state share in respect of one boys' hostel is received. She stated that in case State share was not forthcoming from the State Govt. ;providing State share from MP LAD and MLA LAD funds would be explored/resorted.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for construction of 3 girls' hostels ( for 2 hostels @ Rs. 20.00 lakh and one @ Rs. 10.00 lakh) and 1 boys' hostel @ Rs. 20.00 lakh for a total cost of Rs. 70.00 lakh subject to the following conditions: furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or MP/MLA under MPLAD/MLA LAD funds; a no duplication certificate by the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of

hostels from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in **Annex-A and para 9** above have been fulfilled. The Empowered Committee

approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

S.	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Sharing	Central	State	Total
N.		no.	cost	ratio	Share	Share	cost
		units					
					(Lakh	Rs.)	
i	Construction of girls hostel at Govt. Sec. School Mengio(20 – 30 capacity)	1	20.00	100:00	20.00	-	20.00
ii	Construction of Girls hostel at Residential school, Sango (20 – 30 capacity)	1	20.00	100:00	20.00	-	20.00
iii	Construction of boys hostel at Govt. ME school, Pilla (20 – 30 capacity)	1	20.00	50:50	10.00	10.00	20.00
iv	Construction of Girls hostel at Govt. ME school, Karoi (20 – 30 capacity)	1	10.00	100:00	10.00	-	10.00
	Total	4	-	-	60.00	10.00	70.00

**Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

Construction of school building at Govt. Sec school, Parang, (ii) construction of (iv) doubled storied building at Borum ME school, (iii) construction of primary school building at Papu and Model village and (iv) construction of school/hostel building at Doimukh A/constituency: Percentage of total rate of literacy and female rate literacy has been ranked as  $5^{th}$  and  $7^{th}$  respectively in the baseline survey of the district. State Govt. has proposed for construction of school buildings for a total cost of Rs.220.00 lakh at varying costs. The State Secretary stated that the cost estimates are as per the Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and have been prepared by State PWD keeping in view SSA/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) norms including cost norms and the variations in the cost was on account of the type of structure, material used and the distance of the location. The Deputy Commissioner added that these were functioning Govt. schools and the proposals have been approved by the Education Department and the schools are located in minority concentration areas. She stated that the assets will be constructed in villages with the highest proportion of minority population and a list will be provided. She also stated that in case the State share was not forthcoming from the State Government, providing State share from MPLAD and MLALAD funds would be explored/resorted. It was submitted by State officials that fund may be released only after the commitment by the State Government or through MP/MLA LAD funds to bear the 10% State share is received.

The Empowered Committee considered and accorded approval for construction of Govt. school buildings for a total cost of Rs.220.00 lakh subject to the following conditions: furnishing the approval of the Mission Director of SSA/RMSA in respect of need, adherence to norms, unit cost and elimination of duplication of work under SSA/RSMA; a no duplication certificate by

the State Government that there is no duplication for funding the construction of the school buildings sanctioned under Multi-sectoral Development Programme by the Ministry of Minority Affairs from any other sources of funding like funds from M/o HRD, M/o DoNER, NEC, M/o Tribal Affairs, MP/MLA LAD etc; furnishing a list of villages along with the percentage of minority population covered by each school; providing commitment for State share by the State Government or the commitment given by the Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly under MPLAD/MLALAD funds and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in **Annex-B and para 9** above have been fulfilled. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs. 198.00 lakh and Rs.22.00 lakh as State share in the ratio of 90:10 between Centre and State for north eastern States. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

Proposal for consideration and approval of the Empowered Committee:

S. N.	Name of the scheme	Total no.	Unit cost	Central	State	Total
		units		Share	Share	cost
				(90%)	(10%)	
				(Lal	kh Rs.)	
1	Construction of school building at	1	30.00	27.00	3.00	30.00
	Govt. Sec school, Parang					
2	Construction of doubled storied	1	50.00	45.00	5.00	50.00
	building at Borum Govt. ME					
	school,					
3	Construction of primary Govt.	2	20.00	36.00	4.00	40.00
	school building at Papu village and					
	Model village					
4	construction of govt. school/hostel	1	100.00	90.00	10.00	100.00
	building at Doimukh					
	A/constituency					
	Total			198.00	22.00	220.00

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

# <u>Summary of projects of Papum Pare (Arunachal Pradesh) district approved by the Empowered Committee:</u>

	Name of the project for	Sharing	No.	Unit cost	Central	State	Total	1 <sup>st</sup>
	Papum Pare (Arunachal	ratio	of		share	share	cost	instalment
	Pradesh)		units					amount to
								be released
					R	<b>Supee in la</b>	ıkh	
Adn	ninistrative Approval							
1	Construction of IAY houses	90:10	375	0.485	207.33	23.03	230.37	103.66
2	Construction of aganwadi	100:00	12	3.00	36.00		36.00	18.00
	centres							
3	Construction of labour room	85:15	2	9.00	15.30	2.70	18.00	7.65
	at Jote and Taraso PHCs							
4	Construction of girls hostel	100:00	1	20.00	20.00	-	20.00	10.00

	at Govt. Sec. School Mengio (20 – 30 capacity)							
5	Construction of Girls hostel at Residential school, Sango (20 – 30 capacity)	100:00	1	20.00	20.00	-	20.00	10.00
6	Construction of boys hostel at Govt. ME school, Pilla (20 – 30 capacity)	50:50	1	20.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	5.00
7	Construction of girls hostel at Govt. M.E School , Karoi (20 – 30 capacity)	100:00	1	10.00	10.00		10.00	5.00
	Sub-total				318.63	35.73	354.37	159.31
In-pi	rinciple approval							
9	Construction of school building at Govt. Sec school, Parang	90:10	1	30.00	27.00	3.00	30.00	
10	Construction of doubled storied building at Borum ME school,	90:10	1	50.00	45.00	5.00	50.00	
11	Construction of primary school building at Papu village and Model village	90:10	2	20.00	36.00	4.00	40.00	
12	construction of school/hostel building at Doimukh A/constituency	90:10	1	100.00	90.00	10.00	100.00	
	Sub-total				198	22	220	
	Grand Total				516.63	57.73	574.37	159.31
		1		1				

**Release of 1**<sup>st</sup> **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved the release of 50% of the total central share.

Revised proposal for balance fund available: The tentative allocation for the district was Rs.15.00 crore. The Empowered Committee has approved the projects amounting to Rs.516.63 lakh as a central share including the amount of Rs. 208.00 lakh for in-principle projects leaving a balance of Rs.983.37 lakh. The State Secretary and district officials were advised by the Committee to work out a revised plan for the fund available in accordance with the guidelines of the MsDP and the advice at para 11 above and also send a proposal for sanction and release of funds for setting up a dedicated IT Cell in the district. It was advised that proposals like electrification of a school, construction of a boundary wall, quarters, equipments for CHC, community hall etc. were not envisaged under MsDP and hence not funded. The representative from the Department of Drinking Water Supply advised that sufficient resources were available for funding water supply schemes and all proposals may be sent to that department by the State Government. In respect of the proposals relating to M/o Textiles, the Empowered Committee agreed to refer the above proposals for appraisal of the line Ministry/Department concerned and would be considered only when the matter is cleared by the Ministry/Department concerned.

### <u>Item No.7: Tirap (Arunachal Pradesh)</u>

The fund tentatively allocated for the Tirap district under MsDP was Rs.17.80 crore for the 11<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan period. The Empowered Committee could not consider the proposal as neither the Deputy Commissioner nor a representative from district attended the meeting and the State Secretary was not in a position to give clarifications on the proposals contained in the district plan. This will be taken up in another meeting. The State Secretary was advised to inform the Deputy Commissioner and consider taking corrective actions based on the approvals given in the Empowered Committee meeting, if required.

# <u>Item no. 8: Recommendation of Empowered Committee for conversion of in-principle approved project to approved project:</u>

- (a) Construction of ITI building at Garhmukteshwar and Hapur Block (with staff quarters): District plan of Ghaziabad was considered in the 12<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup> Empowered Committee meetings. The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approvals for the Construction of building of ITI at Garhmukteshwar and Baroda Hindwan, Hapur Block( with staff quarters), Ghaziabad for the total cost of Rs. 288.78 lakh and Rs.385.40 lakh respectively. These approvals were accorded subject to the condition that the State Government should provide a Detailed Project Report (DPR) prepared as per the specification, design, norms, modern courses/trades and standard laid down by the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT); locally relevant, modern trades and trades suitable for women would be included and DPR submitted to the Ministry of Minority Affairs for having it examined and cleared by the Ministry of Labour & Employment; document to show that the ITI was sanctioned, and land, trainers, staff and recurring cost were available should be given in the DPR. Also the State Government should provide details in respect of the numbers and type of courses, numbers of existing students enrolled and capacity of its trades/courses.
- 2. The DPR was submitted by the Govt. of Uttar Pradesh and comments were obtained from Ministry of Labour & Employment. The M/o Labour & Employment supported the proposal for Construction of building of ITI at Garhmukteshwar and Baroda Hindwan, Hapur Block (with staff quarters at a cost of Rs. 288.78 lakh and Rs.385.40 lakh respectively with the following conditions:
  - (i) The proposals envisage training in 12 trades. The space norms for workshop and Administrative building are found to be NCVT norms except that the work shop space earmarked for the trades Mechanic consumer Electronics and Fashion Technology requires to be interchanged in the space norms.
  - (ii) Recurring expenses are to be provided /borne by the State Govt.
  - (iii) The district authorities for district Ghaziabad be advised to enroll the trainees only after the proposed trades/units are affiliated to NCVT.
  - (iv) It may also be ensured that staff quarter once constructed at ITI Baroda, Hindwan, Hapur are put to use and are not left vacant, thus to be constructed with bare minimum requirement.

3. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of two ITIs at a total cost of Rs. 674.18 lakh subject to the above mentioned conditions. This was further subject to the conditions that the recurring cost and commitment of staff are to be provided by the State Govt. Conditions stipulated in para 9 above would also apply. The following approval was accorded.

S. No.	Name of the scheme	Total No. of Unit	Unit cost	Central Share	State share	Total cost	50% of approved proposals to be released as 1 <sup>st</sup> installment
				Rs. In La	khs		
1	Construction of building of ITI at Garhmukteshwar	1	288.78	288.78	-	288.78	144.39
2	Construction of building of ITI at Hapur Block(with staff quarters)	1	385.40	385.40	-	385.40	192.70
	Total			674.18		674.18	337.09

# <u>Summary of projects of Ghaziabad (Uttar Pradesh) district approved by the Empowered Committee:</u>

Sl.	Name of the project for	Sharing	No.	Unit	Central	State	Total	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
no	Ghaziabad (Uttar Pradesh)	ratio	of	cost	share	share	cost	amount to be
			units					released
				Rupee in lakh				
Administrative Approval								
a	Construction of building of ITI at Garhmukteshwar	100:00	1	288.78	288.78	-	288.78	144.39
b	Construction of building of	100:00	1	385.40	385.40	-	385.40	192.70
	ITI at Hapur Block(with staff quarters)	100:00						
	Total		2	-	674.18		674.18	337.09

\*\*\*\*\*