F.No.3/27/2008-PP-I GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS

MINUTES OF THE 6th MEETING OF THE EMPOWERED COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER AND APPROVE THE MULTI-SECTORAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR MINORITY CONCENTRATION DISTRICTS HELD AT 10.30 A.M. ON 5th DECEMBER, 2008 UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS

A list of members and officials present in the meeting is annexed.

- 2. The Chairman of the Empowered Committee explained the background for identification of minority concentration districts and the formulation of a multi-sectoral development programme designed to address the development deficit of such districts. The Chairman pointed out that the baseline survey not only brought out the updated position in respect of the relevant parameters used for identification of such districts, but also ranked the deficits in order of the extent of deprivation in the district. It was expected that the plans submitted by the State Governments would address the deficits in order of priority. In case a deficit, ranking higher in the order of deprivation, was not proposed to be addressed by the plan, it would be incumbent on the part of the District Level Committee and the State Level Committee to bring out the reasons for not doing so.
- 3. The multi sectoral development plan for the districts of Baghpat, Bijnor, Muzaffarnagar, Jyotiba Phule Nagar and Siddarth Nagar of Uttar Pradesh were considered. The conclusions that emerged after each power point presentation by the District Magistrate/ District Collector concerned, clarification by the Secretary, Minority Welfare & Wakf Department of the Government of Uttar Pradesh, comments/clarifications from the members of the Empowered Committee and the representatives of Ministry/Department were as follows:-

Item No.1: Baghpat district

The fund allocated for the MsDP Plan was Rs.30.20 crore for the 11th Plan period.

(a) Projects approved

(a) Construction of anganwadi centres: The proposal was for construction of 69 anganwadi centres @ Rs.2.95 lakh per centre which were functioning from rented buildings. The Ministry of Women and Child Development (WCD) has already advised that it was normally not constructing AWCs and suggested that Rs.3.00 lakh was sufficient for construction of an anganwadi centre according to the specification, standard and design prescribed by the Ministry of WCD which should include a kitchen, toilet, drinking water and playing space. District officials stated that land would be provided by the district administration.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 69 anganwadi centres @ Rs.2.95 lakh at a total cost of Rs.203.55 lakh. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was

given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres were located in such villages/mouzas of all 6 blocks, having the highest concentration of minority population. The State Government assured to provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

(b) Indira Awas Yojana (IAY): Households having pucca walls were ranked 2nd in the order of deficit in the baseline survey. The proposal was for an additional 500 houses at the approved unit cost of the Ministry of Rural Development i.e. Rs.35,000/-. State Government representative stated that from the Ministry of Rural Development 400 IAY houses were allocated last year and expected the same number this year. An additional 500 under MsDP would saturate the district IAY wait list.

The Empowered Committee approved for construction of 500 IAY houses for a total cost of Rs.175.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.131.25 lakhs and Rs.43.75 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 75:25. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the condition that State Government would ensure that the IAY houses would be distributed in villages of all 6 blocks and BPL families selected from the approved waiting list, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list as per the IAY guidelines. State share would be provided. The list of the villages, along with the number of houses to be constructed, would be furnished by the State Government. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the IAY units funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and the Central Ministry concerned informed.

(c) Installation of hand pumps: The proposal was for installation of 350 hand pumps @ Rs. 0.39 lakh. The State Government representative confirmed that this was the approved cost of hand pumps as per ARWSP norms.

The Empowered Committee approved the installation of 350 hand pumps for Rs.136.50 lakh @ Rs 0.39 of which Central share would be Rs.102.38 lakh and State share Rs.34.12 lakh on 75:25 Centre and State sharing ratio as per the ARWSP subject to the condition that these hand pumps would be installed in such sourceless/inadequately sourced villages having the highest proportion of minority population. The Empowered Committee agreed to release 50% of the Central share as the first instalment. The list of the villages where these hand pumps were to be installed, would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the assets funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and the Central Department concerned informed.

(d) Soak pit with recharging unit: The proposal was for the installation of 50 soak pits, with recharging unit @Rs0.10 lakhs. The State Government representative confirmed that this was the approved cost of a soak pit, with recharging unit, and would improve the sanitation condition of the locality.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal for installation of 50 soak pits with recharging, for Rs. 5.00 lakh @ Rs.0.10 of which the Central share would be Rs.3.75 lakh and State share Rs.1.25 lakh on 75:25 Centre and State sharing ratio subject to the condition that design specification would be as per the norms of U.P. ground water rechargeable board/UP Jal Nigam and these would be constructed in villages facing sanitation problems, having the highest proportion of minority population. The Empowered Committee agreed to release 50% of the Central share as first instalment. The list of the villages where the soak pits were to be installed with location would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Minority Welfare & Wakf Department of the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the assets funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and the Central Department concerned informed.

(e) Construction of building of Primary Health Sub-Centres (PHSC): It was submitted that there were 149 PHSCs in the district having their own building and 10 more were needed to cover the entire requirement of the district. The proposal was for construction of 10 PHSCs @ Rs.6.90 lakh to fill the gap. Land and personnel were available. It was confirmed that the unit cost of Rs.6.90 lakh was approved by the State Government as per the NRHM norms, specification and pattern.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 10 PHSCs for Rs.69.00 lakh, of which Rs.58.65 lakh would be borne by the Centre and Rs.10.35 lakh by the State. It also approved for release of 50% of the Central share as first instalment. The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres were located in areas having the highest concentration of minority population, NRHM construction norms, design, specification would be followed and the State share would be provided. The list of the centres with location should be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the centres funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and the Central Ministry informed.

(f) Construction of Additional Class Rooms (ACRs): The proposal was for providing 12 ACRs in secondary schools. State Govt. representative clarified that these ACRs would be provided in 3 Govt. and 9 Govt. aided schools where student class room ratio exceeded the norms substantially.

The proposal for construction of additional class rooms in three existing government schools was approved by the Empowered Committee for a total cost of Rs.12.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.7.80 lakh and Rs.4.20 lakh would be the State share. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The State Government should ensure that the cost for the construction of ACRs was approved by the State PWD and the State Government was asked to provide a list of schools and proportion of minority population where these ACRs would be constructed.

(ii) Projects approved in-principle:

(a) The proposal was for the installation of **65 units of computer with accessories** @ Rs0.40 lakh at a total cost of Rs.26.00 lakh. The State Government representative clarified that the computer and accessories was to be provided in such schools, that were already having computer labs, staff, trainer and recurring cost, etc. Only strengthening was envisaged to be done under MsDP fund.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval for instalation of computers with accessories in schools subject to the condition that the State Govt. should provide a detailed project profile and assurance to provide computers only in already existing labs of Government schools and the configuration and cost would be as approved by NICSI. The Empowered Committee agreed to release 50% of the approved cost after the proposal was cleared by the Ministry of HRD.

(b) The proposal was for **establishment of a dyeing unit** on the pattern of Integrated Handloom Cluster Development Programme of the Ministry of Textiles for Rs.116 lakh. It was submitted by the District Magistrate that the funding pattern of the scheme of the Ministry of Textiles has a ceiling of Rs.60 lakh. The fund needed for setting up such a facility was higher than what the Ministry of Textiles provided. The department in the State looking after handloom would own and operate this unit and a consortium of weavers would utilize this facility.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval to the proposal subject to the condition that the State Government will provide a detailed project profile for appraisal by the Ministry of Textiles. The Empowered Committee agreed to release 50% of the approved cost after the proposal was cleared by the Ministry of Textiles.

(iii) Proposal requiring project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the Ministry/Department concerned if State Government desired to pursue the proposal:

For the following projects, the State Govt. would be required to provide project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the Central Ministry/Department concerned:-

- (a) Employment generation for youths of minority community for establishing their own industries business & services activity upto the project cost of Rs. 2 lakh: The State Govt. was advised that it should be proposed under SGSY pattern. SHGs could be a mixed group of persons from different communities and not necessarily comprising only from the minority communities. Individual schemes could be proposed under SGSY by seeking special relaxation of the 10% ceiling under the SGSY scheme.
- **(b) Goat rearing and dairy development:** This is a State Govt. scheme. The State Govt. was advised that such income generating activities should be proposed under SGSY pattern.

(iv) <u>Proposals declined by the Empowered Committee as they were not identified</u> as priority items in the baseline survey/not envisaged in the programme:

- (a) Construction of irrigation tube wells: Declining ground water table and problems of maintenance and operation of tube well has been an area of concern. The representative of the M/o Water Resources endorsed the view. The proposal was declined.
- (b) Health (equipment for CHC): NRHM permits procurement of such equipment and as they are technical and requirement is linked to the availability of trained and qualified personnel and doctors, it was advised that procurement of such equipment may be proposed for funding under NRHM to the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.
- **(c)** Construction of internal road and drain: This may be covered under centrally sponsored scheme of PMGSY or State plan resources.
- (d) Electricity: This may be covered under centrally sponsored scheme of RGGVY.

(v) <u>Summary of projects of Baghpat district approved by the Empowered Committee:</u>

Sl. no	Name of the project for Baghpat district (U.P.)	No. of units	Unit	Centra l share	State share	Total cost	1st instalment amount to be released of 50%
Adr	ninistrative Approval				Rupec III		
1	Construction anganwadi centres	69	2.95	203.55	-	203.55	101.78
2	Construction of IAY houses	500	0.35	131.25	43.75	175.00	65.63
3	Installation of new hand pumps	350	0.39	102.38	34.12	136.50	51.19
4	Soak pit with recharging unit	50	0.10	3.75	1.25	5.00	1.88
5	Construction of primary health sub centre	10	6.90	58.65	10.35	69.00	29.33
6	Additional classrooms	3	4.00	7.80	4.20	12.00	3.90
	Sub-total			507.38	93.67	601.05	253.71
In-	Principle Approval						
1	Computer with Accessories	65	0.40	16.90	9.10	26.00	8.45
2	Construction of Dyeing unit	1	116.00	116.00	-	116.00	58.00
	Sub-total			132.9	9.10	142.00	66.45
	Grand Total			640.28	102.77	743.05	320.16

Item No.2: Bijnor district

The fund allocated for the MSDP Plan was Rs.51.60 crore for the 11th Plan period.

(i) **Project approved**

(a) Indira Awas Yojana (IAY): Households having pucca walls were ranked 2nd in the order of deficit in the baseline survey. The proposal was for an additional 5000 houses at the approved unit cost of the Ministry of Rural Development i.e. Rs.35,000/-. State Government representative stated that the Ministry of Rural Development would provide 15140 IAY houses to the district during 11th five year plan. If 8000 houses, instead of 5000, were provided under MsDP it would be possible to saturate the IAY houses backlog for 428 villages, which were having more than 30% of the minority population. The State Secretary agreed to the enhancement proposed and to the provision of state share for the enhanced numbers.

The Empowered Committee approved construction of 8000 IAY houses at a total cost of Rs.2800.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs. 2100.00 lakhs and Rs. 700.00 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 75:25. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the understanding that the State Government would ensure that the IAY houses would be distributed in 428 villages of 11 blocks having more than 30% of minority population and BPL families selected from the approved waiting list, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list as per the IAY guidelines. State share would be provided. The list of the villages, along with the number of houses to be constructed, would be furnished by the State Government. To prevent duplication, the Minority Welfare & Wakf Department of the Government of Uttar Pradesh would ensure that the units funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry concerned.

(b) Construction of building of Primary Health Sub-Centres (PHSC): It was submitted that there were 161 PHSCs in the district not having their own building. The proposal was for construction of 50 PHSCs @ Rs 6.90 lakh to fill the gap. Land and personnel were available. It was confirmed that the unit cost of Rs.6.90 lakh was approved by the State Government as per the NRHM pattern.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 50 PHSCs for Rs.345.00 lakh, of which Rs.293.25 lakh would be borne by the Centre and Rs.51.75 lakh by the State. It also approved the release of 50% of the Central share as first installment. The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres were located in areas having the highest concentration of minority population, NRHM construction norms, design, specification would be followed and the State share would be provided. The list of the centres with location should be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh would ensure that the centres funded under MsDP

were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry concerned.

(c) Anganwadi Centres: It was submitted that there were 3014 anganwadi centres in the district, of which only 101 were in government buildings and 2913 in rented buildings. The proposal was for construction of 150 anganwadi centres @ Rs.2.95 lakh per centre. The Ministry of Women and Child Development (WCD) has already advised that the Government was normally not constructing AWCs and suggested that Rs. 3.00 lakh was sufficient for construction of an anganwadi centre according to the specification, standard and design prescribed by the Ministry of WCD. Land was confirmed to be available.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 150 anganwadi centres @ Rs. 2.95 lakh at a total cost of Rs. 442.50 lakh on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres were located in villages/mouzas having the highest concentration of minority population. The State Government assured to provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

(d) Installation of India Mark-II hand pumps: The proposal was for the installation of 300 India Mark-II @ Rs. 0.325 lakh. The State Government representative confirmed that this was the approved cost of hand pumps as per ARWSP norms.

The Empowered Committee approved installation of 300 India Mark-II hand pumps for Rs. 97.50 lakh @ Rs. 0.325, of which the Central share would be Rs.73.13 lakh and the State share Rs. 24.37 lakh on 75:25 Centre and State sharing ratio as per the ARWSP subject to the condition that these hand pumps would be installed in sourceless or inadequately sourced villages having the highest proportion of minority population. The Empowered Committee agreed to release 50% of the Central share. The list of the villages where these hand pumps were to be installed with location would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh would ensure that the assets funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Department concerned.

(e) Construction of ITI building: Total work participation and female work participation has been ranked as 4th and 3rd respectively in the baseline survey of the district. The proposal was for the construction of one existing ITI building at Dhampur which was currently functioning from ITI Bijnor at a total cost of Rs.256.00 lakh. The State Government representative confirmed that this ITI has been sanctioned, and running cost and land was available. Representative from M/o Labour & Employment recommended that the building design, specification should be as per the norms of the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT) or 'State Council for Vocational Training' (SCVT). He added that adhering to the NCVT norms and guideline would be preferable as it would facilitate employment.

The Empowered Committee approved the construction of the ITI building for Rs.256.00 lakh subject to the condition that the building design, specification were as per the norms of the 'State Council for Vocational Training' (SCVT) or 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT).

(ii) Projects approved in-principle:

(a) Upgradation of Industrial training institute (ITI) at Bijnor: The proposal was for upgradation of an existing ITI at Bijnor by adding two new trades for Rs.55.00 lakh. Representative from M/o Labour & Employment suggested that the skill requirement of the area may be studied before inducting new courses so that the skilled manpower needs of the local industries could be provided by this ITI. It was also suggested that modern trades may be introduced so as to improve the employability in the job market.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval to the proposal for upgradation of the existing ITI at Bijnor for Rs.55.00 lakh. The State Government will provide a detailed project profile for appraisal and comments of the Ministry of Labour & Employment. The Empowered Committee agreed to release 50% of the approved cost.

(b) The proposal was for **establishment of a dyeing unit** on the pattern of Integrated Handloom Cluster Development Programme of the Ministry of Textiles for Rs.116 lakh. It was submitted by the District Magistrate that the funding pattern of the scheme of the Ministry of Textiles had a ceiling of Rs.60 lakh. The fund needed for setting up such a facility was higher than what the Ministry of Textiles provides. The department in the State looking after handloom would own and operate this unit and a consortium of weavers would utilise this facility.

The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval to the proposal subject to the condition that the State Government will provide a detailed project profile for appraisal by the Ministry of Textiles. The Empowered Committee agreed to release 50% of the approved cost after the proposal was cleared by the Ministry of Textiles.

(iii) Proposals requiring project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the Ministry/Department concerned if State Government desire to pursue the proposal:

For the following projects, the State Govt. would be required to provide project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the Central Ministry/Department concerned:

- **(b) Assistance to individual weaver and infrastructure for dairy development:** The State Govt. was advised that it should be proposed under SGSY pattern.
- (c) Providing common facility centre and design centre for the upliftment of the artisans of wooden handicraft at Nagina & Najibabad: State Government was advised to send detailed project profile for obtaining the comment of the Development Commissioner, Handloom & Handicrafts.
- (d) Construction worker level and supervisory level training: The State Govt. was advised to make out a detailed project profile for obtaining comments of the M/o Rural Development.

- (e) Technical training for skill upgradation: The proposal was for the training in computer hardware & data entry, AC & refrigerator maintenance and stitching. The State Govt. was advised to induct these trades under the upgradation of ITI at Bijnor.
- (f) Construction of new piped water scheme at Ravana Shikarpur and Umarikala: The State Govt. was advised that such a proposal entailed detailed survey and study besides being very technical and involving elaborate operational and maintenance issues. Implementation of such schemes also takes a long time. Such schemes should be proposed to the Department of Drinking Water Supply for funding under their scheme.
- **(g) Installation of solar street light:** The State Govt. was advised to make out a project profile as per the guidelines for the Ministry of New & Renewable Energy.
- (h) Establishment of a Common Facility Centre for Rs.37 lakh The State Government was advised to make out detailed project profile for obtaining comments of the Development Commissioner, Handloom.
- (i) Training of handloom weavers for Rs.50 lakh The State Government was advised to make out a detailed project profile for obtaining comments of the Development Commissioner, Handloom.
- (iv) <u>Proposals declined by the Empowered Committee as they were not identified</u> as priority items in the baseline survey/not envisaged in the programme:
- (a) Health (equipment for CHC): NRHM permits procurement of such equipment and as they are technical and requirement is linked to the availability of trained and qualified personnel and doctors, it was advised that procurement of such equipment may be proposed for funding under NRHM to the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.
- (b) Modernisation of madarsas: The Ministry of Human Resource Development (HRD) has revised the scheme for modernization of Madarsa recently which are now called (i) Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarsas and (ii) Scheme for Infrastructure Development in Private Aided/Unaided Minority Institutes. The revised schemes are attractive and there is sufficient fund available. It was advised that such requirement may be accessed from the new schemes of the Ministry of HRD.

(v) <u>Summary of projects of Bijnor district approved by the Empowered</u> Committee:

Sl. no	Name of the project for Bijnor district (U.P.)	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 st instalment amount to be released of 50%
				I	Rupee in	lakh	l
		Ac	lministrat	tive Approv	al		
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	8000	0.35	2100.00	700.00	2800.00	1050.00
2	Construction of PHSC building	50	6.90	293.25	51.75	345.00	146.63
3	Construction of anganwadi centres	150	2.95	442.50	ı	442.50	221.25
4	India Mark-II hand pumps	300	0.325	73.13	24.37	97.50	36.57
5	Construction of ITI building at Dhampur	01	256.00	256.00	-	256.00	128.00
	Sub-total			3164.88	776.12	3941.00	1582.45
	In- Principle Approval						
1	Upgradation of ITI at Bijnor	-	55.00	55.00	-	55.00	27.50
2	Construction of Dyeing unit	1	116.00	116.00	-	116.00	58.00
	Sub-total			171.00		171.00	85.50
	Grand Total			3335.88	776.12	4112.00	1667.95

Item No.3: Muzaffarnagar district

The fund allocated for the MSDP Plan was Rs.51.80 crore for the 11th Plan period. All the priority areas have been proposed in MsDP.

(i) Project approved

(a) Indira Awas Yojana (IAY): Households having pucca walls were ranked 2nd in the order of deficit in the baseline survey. The proposal was for an additional 2400 houses at the approved unit cost of the Ministry of Rural Development i.e. Rs. 35,000/-. State Government representative stated that with the additional IAY houses, 137 villages having highest minority concentration in 14 blocks in the district would be saturated.

The proposal was approved by the Empowered Committee for construction of 2400 IAY houses for a total cost of Rs.840.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs. 630.00 lakhs and Rs. 210.00 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 75:25. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the condition that State Government would ensure that the

IAY houses would be distributed in 137 villages of 14 blocks, BPL families in the approved waiting list would be selected, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list. State share would be provided. The list of the villages where these houses would be constructed would be furnished by the State Government. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh may ensure that the assets funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry concerned.

- (b) Construction of building of Primary Health Sub-Centres (PHSC): proposal was for construction of 29 PHSCs @ Rs 6.90 lakhs to fill the gaps in the district. These PHSCs were functioning from rented buildings. It was confirmed that land and personnel were available. It was also pointed out that the unit cost of Rs.6.90 lakhs rate was approved by the State Government and was as per the NRHM pattern. The Empowered Committee approved the construction of 29 PHSCs for Rs.200.10 lakh, of which Rs.170.09 lakh would be borne by the Centre and Rs.30.02 lakh by the State. It also approved release of 50% of the Central share as first installment. The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres were located in areas having the highest concentration of minority population, NRHM norms for construction, design, specification would be followed, and institutional delivery and vaccination facility provided. The State share would be provided. The list of the centres with location should be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh may ensure that the assets funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry concerned.
- (c) Anganwadi Centres: It was submitted that the 137 anganwadi centres were functioning from rented buildings. The proposal was for construction of 137 anganwadi centres @ Rs.2.95 lakh per centre. The Ministry of Women and Child Development (WCD) has already advised that anganwadi centres may be constructed @ Rs. 3.00 lakh per unit and it should be ensured that kitchen, toilet, drinking water and playing space were provided. Representative from M/o WCD clarified that Government was normally not constructing AWCs and suggested that Rs. 3.00 lakh was sufficient to construct AWCs according to the specification, standard and design prescribed by the Ministry. Land was confirmed to be available.

Empowered Committee approved the construction of 137 anganwadi centres @ Rs. 2.95 lakh for the total cost of Rs. 404.02 lakh. The State Government should ensure that the centres were located in villages/mouzas, which have the highest concentration of minority population. The State Government assured to provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

(d) Reconstruction of jarjar building of 06 primary, 01 junior high schools, 51 w/c toilets in schools and 08 Additional Class Rooms (ACR): Literacy rate (total) and female literacy has been identified as the 5th and 6th deficit in the district

respectively. The proposal was for providing 06 primary, 01 junior high school and 08 ACRs in existing Govt schools.

The Empowered Committee approved the construction of 06 primary schools @ Rs. 4.18 lakh, 01 junior high school @ Rs. 5.60 lakh and 08 ACRs @ Rs. 1.40 lakh at a total cost of Rs.25.08 lakh, Rs. 5.60 lakh and Rs.11.20 lakh respectively subject to the condition that the cost sharing pattern (65:35 between centre and state), norms and specification would be as per the SSA pattern. This fund would be an additionality over and above the normal annual SSA fund flow to the district. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The State Government should ensure that the cost for the construction of ACRs was approved by the State PWD and the State Government was asked to provide a list of villages where these schools and ACRs would be constructed. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh may ensure that the assets funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry concerned.

(f) Construction of ITI building: Total work participation and female work participation has been ranked as 3rd and 4th respectively in the baseline survey of the district. Construction of 02 existing ITIs in the district at a total cost of Rs. 512.00 lakh has been proposed. The State Government representative confirmed that these ITI have been sanctioned, and running cost and land was available. Representative from M/o Labour & Employment recommended that the building design, specification should be as per the norms of the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT) or 'State Council for Vocational Training' (SCVT). He added that adhering to the NCVT norms and guideline would be preferable as it would facilitate employment.

The Empowered Committee approved the construction of 02 ITI building for Rs.512.00 lakh subject to the condition the building design, specification were as per the norms of the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT) or 'State Council for Vocational Training' (SCVT). It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment.

(ii) Projects approved in-principle:

(c) Installation of hand pumps: Drinking water facilities have been identified as the 7th deficit in the baseline survey of the district. Installation of 1681 hand pumps @ Rs. 0.39 lakh was proposed. The representative from the D/o Drinking Water Supply stated that 250 persons per hand pumps was the norm.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal for installation of 1681 hand pumps for Rs. 655.29 lakh @ Rs. 0.39 lakh each, of which the Central share would be Rs. 491.69 lakh and the State share Rs.163.90 lakh on 75:25 Centre and State sharing basis as per the ARWSP. This proposal was approved by the Empowered Committee subject to the condition that these hand pumps would be installed in sourceless or inadequately sourced villages having the highest proportion of minority population. The pumps would be maintained by the gram panchayats. The Empowered Committee agreed to release 50% of the Central

share. The list of the villages where these hand pumps would be installed, with location, would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh would ensure that the assets funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Department of Drinking Water Supply.

(iii) Proposal requiring project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the Ministry/Department concerned if State Government desire to pursue the proposal:

For the following projects, the State Govt. would be required to provide the project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the Central Ministry/Department concerned:-

- (a) **Self employment scheme for minority:** The State Govt. was advised that it should be proposed under SGSY pattern.
- **(b) Technical training for skill upgradation:** The State Govt. was advised to include these trades under the upgradation of existing ITI if it was considered relevant to the demand of industries in the district or trades having high employability prospects.
- (c) Construction of water pipeline scheme: The State Govt. was advised that such a proposal entailed detailed survey and study besides being very technical and involving elaborate operational and maintenance issues. Implementation of such schemes also took a long time. Such schemes should be proposed to the Department of Drinking Water Supply for funding under their scheme.

(iv) Proposals declined by the Empowered Committee as they were not identified as priority items in the baseline survey/not envisaged in the programme:

- (a) Construction of toilets for BPL families and in school: Representative from D/o Drinking Water Supply stated that there was no fund constraint under Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC) and the demand for BPL toilets could be covered under TSC. He added that schools and ICDS centres were also covered under TSC. It was advised that such proposals should be funded by the D/o Drinking Water Supply under their programme.
- **(b) Health equipments:** NRHM permits procurement of such equipment and as they are technical and their requirement is linked to the availability of trained and qualified personnel and doctors, it was advised that procurement of such equipment may be proposed for funding under NRHM to the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.
- (c) Modernization of madarsas: The Ministry of Human Resource Development (HRD) has revised the scheme for modernization of Madarsa recently which are now called (i) Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarsas and (ii) Scheme for Infrastructure Development in Private Aided/Unaided Minority Institutes. The revised schemes are attractive and there is sufficient fund available. It was advised that such requirement may be accessed from the new schemes of the Ministry of HRD.

- (d) Electricity: The State Govt. was advised that such a proposal entailed detailed survey and load study besides being very technical and involving elaborate operational and maintenance issues. Implementation of such schemes also took a long time. Such schemes should be proposed to the Ministry of Power under the centrally sponsored scheme of RGGVY.
- **(e) Nalkup for irrigation:** The State Govt. was advised that such a proposal entailed detailed survey and study besides being very technical and involving elaborate operational and maintenance issues. Implementation of such schemes also took a long time.

(v) <u>Summary of projects of Muzaffarnagar district approved by the Empowered Committee</u>:

Sl. no	Name of the project for Muzaffarnagar district (U.P.)	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1 st instalment amount to be released of 50%
					Rupee in	lakh	
		Adm	ninistrativ	e Approva	al		
1.	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	2400	0.35	630.00	210.00	840.00	315.00
2.	Construction of PHSC building	29	6.90	170.09	30.02	200.10	85.05
3.	Construction of anganwadi centres	137	2.95	404.15	-	404.15	202.08
4.	Reconstruction of jarjar building of primary school	06	4.18	16.30	8.77	25.08	8.15
5.	Reconstruction of jarjar building of junior high school	01	5.60	3.64	1.96	5.60	1.82
6.	Additional class room	08	1.40	7.28	3.92	11.20	3.64
7.	Construction of ITI building	02	256.00	512.00	-	512.00	256.00
	Sub-total			1743.46	254.67	1998.13	871.74
	In-Principle Approval						
1.	Hand pumps	1681	0.39	491.69	163.90	655.59	245.85
	Sub-total			491.69	163.90	655.59	245.85
	Grand Total			2235.15	418.57	2653.72	1117.59

Item No.4: Jyotiba Phule Nagar district

The fund allocated for the MSDP Plan was Rs.43.10 crore for the 11th Plan period.

(j) Project approved

(a) Indira Awas Yojana (IAY): Households having pucca walls were ranked 3rd in the order of deficit in the baseline survey. The proposal was for an additional 3500 houses at the approved unit cost of the Ministry of Rural Development i.e. Rs.35,000/-. State Government representative stated that the IAY wait list in the district was more than 11000 and 4800 backlog in the 129 identified minority concentration villages. If 4800 houses, instead of 3500 were provided under MsDP, then it would saturate IAY houses requirement for 129 villages which have the highest proportion of minority communities. The State Secretary agreed to the enhancement proposed and the provision of state share for the enhancement.

The proposal was approved by the Empowered Committee for construction of 4800 IAY houses at a total cost of Rs.1680.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs. 1260.00 lakhs and Rs. 420.00 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 75:25. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the understanding that State Government would ensure that the IAY houses would be distributed in 129 villages which have the highest minority concentration in the district and BPL families would be selected from the approved waiting list, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list as per the IAY guidelines. State share would be provided. The list of the villages along with the number of houses to be constructed would be furnished by the State Government. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the units funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and inform the Central Ministry concerned.

(b) Construction of anganwadi centres: Proposal was for construction of 34 anganwadi centres @ Rs.2.95 lakh per centre. State Government representative stated that there were 1100 approved anganwadi centres in the district, of which more than 900 were functioning from rented buildings. The District magistrate added that if 129 ICDS centres, instead of 34 were provided under MsDP, then it would be possible to provide at least one centre in 129 villages which have a high proportion of minority population. The State Secretary agreed to the enhancement proposed. The Ministry of Women and Child Development (WCD) has already advised that anganwadi centres may be constructed @ Rs. 3.00 lakh per unit and it should be ensured that kitchen, toilet, drinking water and playing space were provided. Representative from M/o WCD clarified that the Government was normally not constructing AWCs and suggested that Rs. 3.00 lakh was sufficient for construction of an anganwadi centre according to the specification, standard and design prescribed by the Ministry of WCD. Land was confirmed to be available.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 129 anganwadi centres @ Rs. 2.95 lakh for a total cost of Rs. 380.55 lakh on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres were located in villages/mouzas having the highest concentration of minority population. The State

Government assured to provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

(c) **Installation of hand pumps:** The proposal was for the installation of 500 hand pumps @ Rs. 0.324 lakh. The State Government representative confirmed that this was the approved cost of hand pumps as per ARWSP norms.

The Empowered Committee approved the installation of 500 hand pumps for Rs. 162.00 lakh @ Rs. 0.324, of which the Central share would be Rs.121.50 lakh and the State share Rs. 40.50 lakh on 75:25 Centre and State sharing ratio as per the ARWSP subject to the condition that these hand pumps would be installed in 129 sourceless and inadequately sourced villages having the highest proportion of minority population. Empowered Committee agreed to release 50% of the Central share. The list of the villages where these hand pumps were to be installed would be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the assets funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and also inform the Central Department concerned.

(d) Construction of building of Primary Health Sub-Centres (PHSC): It was submitted that the percentage of institutional delivery was very low in the district. The proposal was for construction of 14 PHSCs @ Rs 8.18 lakh to fill the gap. Land and personnel were available. It was confirmed that the unit cost of Rs.8.18 lakh was approved by the State Government as per the NRHM pattern.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 14 PHSCs for Rs.114.52 lakh, of which Rs.97.34 lakh would be borne by the Centre and Rs.17.18 lakh by the State. Release of 50% of the Central share as first instalment was agreed to. The approval was given on the condition that the State Government would ensure that the centres were located in villages of 6 blocks having the highest concentration of minority population, NRHM construction norms, design, specification would be followed and the State share would be provided. The list of the centres with location should be furnished. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the centres funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and inform the Central Ministry concerned.

(ii) Proposal requiring project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the Ministry/Department concerned if State Government desire to pursue the proposal:

For the following projects, the State Govt. would be required to provide project details/profile for appraisal and comments of the Central Ministry/Department concerned:-

(a) Construction of mini ITI building at Hasanpur: The proposal was for the construction of the building for one ITI at Hasanpur. The State Government representative confirmed that it was a Government ITI at Hasanpur, running cost and land was available and indicating it as a mini ITI was an error. It was suggested to the State Govt. to submit a project profile as per the norms of the 'National Council for Vocational Training' (NCVT) or 'State Council for Vocational Training' (SCVT) for obtaining the comments of the Ministry of Labour & Employment.

- **(b) Installation of computer lab in intermediate colleges:** The State Govt. was advised to make out detail project profile for obtaining comments of the M/o Human Resource Development.
- (c) Installation of solar street light: The State Govt. was advised to make out a project profile as per the guidelines and funding pattern of the Ministry of New & Renewable Energy.

(iii) <u>Proposals declined by the Empowered Committee as they were not identified</u> as priority items in the baseline survey/not envisaged in the programme:

- (a) **Health equipment:** NRHM permits procurement of such equipment and as they are technical and requirement is linked to the availability of trained and qualified personnel and doctors, it was advised that procurement of such equipment may be proposed for funding under NRHM to the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.
- (b) Computer and science lab in madarsas: The Ministry of Human Resource Development (HRD) has revised the scheme for modernization of Madarsa recently which is now called (i) Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarsas and (ii) Scheme for Infrastructure Development in Private Aided/Unaided Minority Institutes. The revised schemes are attractive and there is sufficient fund available. It was advised that such requirement may be accessed from the new schemes of the Ministry of HRD.
- **(c) Term loan scheme for minorities:** The State Govt. was advised that it should be proposed under SGSY pattern.
- (d) Construction of internal road and drain: This may be covered under centrally sponsored scheme of PMGSY.
- (e) Electricity: This may be covered under centrally sponsored scheme of RGGVY.

(d) <u>Summary of projects of Jyotiba Phule Nagar district approved by the Empowered Committee:</u>

Sl. no	Name of the project for Jyotiba Phule Nagar district (U.P.)	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	installment amount to be released of 50%
					Rupee in	lakh	
Adn	ninistrative Approval						
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	4800	0.35	1260.00	420.00	1680.00	630.00
2	Construction of anganwadi centres	129	2.95	380.55	-	380.55	190.28
3	Installation of Hand pumps	500	0.324	121.50	40.50	162.00	60.75
4	construction of health care sub- centres	14	8.18	97.34	17.18	114.52	48.67
	Grand Total			1859.39	477.68	2337.07	929.7

Item No. 5 Siddarth Nagar District (U.P)

The fund allocated for the MSDP Plan was Rs.35.40 crore for the 11th Plan period. All the priority areas have been covered in MsDP

(i) **Projects approved**

(a) **Indira Awas Yojana:** Households having pucca walls were ranked 7th in the order of deficit in the baseline survey. The proposal was for an additional 1000 houses at the approved unit cost of the Ministry of Rural Development i.e. Rs. 35,000/-.

The proposal was approved by the Empowered Committee for construction of 1000 IAY houses for a total cost of Rs.350.00 lakh. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs. 262.50 lakhs and Rs. 87.50 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 75:25. It was agreed that 50% of the Central share would be released as 1st instalment. The approval was given on the understanding that State Government would ensure that the IAY houses would be distributed in villages having more than 30% of minority population and BPL families selected from the approved waiting list, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list as per the IAY guidelines. State share would be provided. The list of the villages along with the number of houses to be constructed would be furnished by the State Government. To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the units funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and inform the Central Ministry concerned.

(b) Construction of Anganwadi Centres: Health indicators i.e. percentage of fully vaccinated children and percentage of deliveries in health institutions are below the national average. Only 32.70% of the children are fully vaccinated and only 14.60% of the deliveries are institutional. The proposal was for construction of 60 buildings for ICDS centres which were not running in government buildings @ of Rs.2.95 lakhs per unit, total costing Rs.177.00 lakhs.

Empowered Committee approved the proposal for construction of 60 anganwadi centres @ of Rs.2.95 lakhs per unit for the total cost of Rs.177.00 lakhs and subject to condition that the centres would be located in villages which have the highest concentration of minority population and spread across all the blocks. It was agreed that 50% of the central share will be released as 1st instalment. The State Government assured to provide a list of villages where these centres would be constructed.

General observations of the Empowered Committee:

The Empowered Committee noted that details and justification would need to be provided for the rest of the proposals relating to work participation (vocational training, self employment, setting up of new ITI), safe drinking water and sanitation and electrification through solar energy in the district plans. In respect of proposals under madarsa education, it was advised that the Ministry of Human Resource Development

(HRD) has revised the scheme for modernization of Madarsa recently which is now called (i) Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarsas and (ii) Scheme for Infrastructure Development in Private Aided/Unaided Minority Institutes. The revised schemes were attractive. It was advised that various requirements for madarsa may be accessed from the new schemes of the Ministry of HRD. NRHM permits procurement of such equipment and as they are technical and requirement is linked to the availability of trained and qualified personnel and doctors, it was advised that procurement of such equipment may be proposed for funding under NRHM to the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare. The proposal for Ambulance and Hospital van was declined as it would entail recurring expenditure and was already covered by NRHM.

(i) <u>Summary of projects of Siddharth Nagar district approved by the Empowered</u> Committee:

Sl. no	Name of the project for Siddarth Nagar district (U.P.)	No. of units	Unit cost	Centra l share	State share	Total cost	installment amount to be released of 50%		
			Rupee in lakh						
Administrative Approval									
1	Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)	1000	0.35	262.50	87.50	350.00	131.25		
3	Construction of anganwadi centres	60	2.95	177.00	-	177.00	88.50		
	total			439.50	87.50	527.00	219.75		
